	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
·		
MATTITUCK HARBOR, NY	80 600	80 600
MT MORRIS LAKE, NY	2,040	2,040
NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY CHANNELS, NY	3,835	6,500
NEW YORK HARBOR (DRIFT REMOVAL), NY & NJ	5,300	5,300 750
NEW YORK HARBOR (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS),. NEW YORK HARBOR, NY	750 3,720	3,720
OAK ORCHARD HARBOR, NY	15	15
OLCOTT HARBOR, NY	10	10
PLATTSBURGH HARBOR, NY	590	800
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NY	2,595 35	2,595 35
SAG HARBOR, NY	2,500	2,500
SHINNECOCK INLET, NY	1,346	1,346
SOUTHERN NEW YORK FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS, NY	760	760
STURGEON POINT HARBOR, NY	20	20 595
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, NY WHITNEY POINT LAKE, NY	595 705	705
WILSON HARBOR, NY	20	20
NORTH CAROLINA		
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NC	806	4,000
B EVERETT JORDAN DAM AND LAKE, NC	1,829	1,829
BEAUFORT HARBOR, NC	400	400
BOGUE INLET AND CHANNEL, NC	867	867 587
CAPE FEAR RIVER ABOVE WILMINGTON, NC	587 1,060	1,060
FALLS LAKE, NC	2,281	2,281
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NC	32	32
LOCKWOODS FOLLY RIVER, NC	455	700
MANTEO (SHALLOWBAG) BAY, NC	4,732 45	4,732 45
MOREHEAD CITY HARBOR, NC	5,100	5,100
NEW RIVER INLET, NC	815	815
NEW TOPSAIL INLET AND CONNECTING CHANNELS, NC	640	940
PAMLICO AND TAR RIVERS, NCPROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NC	139 73	139 73
ROANOKE RIVER, NC	100	100
W KERR SCOTT DAM AND RESERVOIR, NC	3,480	3,480
WILMINGTON HARBOR, NC	8,213	8,213
NORTH DAKOTA		
BOWMAN - HALEY LAKE, ND	177	177
GARRISON DAM, LAKE SAKAKAWEA, ND	11,939	12,039
HOMME LAKE, ND	281	281
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ND	15 1,354	15 1,354
LAKE ASHTABULA AND BALDHILL DAM, ND PIPESTEM LAKE, ND	395	395
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, ND	68	68
SOURIS RIVER, ND	370	370
OHIO		
ALUM CREEK LAKE, OH	775	775
ASHTABULA HARBOR, OH	1,915	1,915
BERLIN LAKE, OH	1,857	1,857
CAESAR CREEK LAKE, OH	1,234	1,234 1,173
CLARENCE J BROWN DAM, OHCLEVELAND HARBOR, OH	773 3,520	3,520
CONNEAUT HARBOR, OH.	585	585
DEER CREEK LAKE, OH	711	1,061
DELAWARE LAKE, OH	932	932
DILLON LAKE, OHFAIRPORT HARBOR, OH	576 1,090	576 1,290
FRINFORI HARDOR, UR	1,090	1,200

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
HURON HARBOR, OH	860	860
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OH	233	233
LORAIN HARBOR, OH	3,400	3,400
MASSILLON LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, OH	25 789	25 789
MICHAEL J KIRWAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, OH	1,036	1,036
MUSKINGUM RIVER LAKES, OH	6,133	7,000
NORTH BRANCH KOKOSING RIVER LAKE, OH	319	319
PAINT CREEK LAKE, OH	778	778
PORT CLINTON HARBOR, OH	1,275	1,275
PORTSMOUTH HARBOR, OH	150	150
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, OH	90 30	90 30
ROCKY RIVER, OH ROSEVILLE LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, OH	30	.30
SANDUSKY HARBOR, OH.	1,010	1,200
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, OH	175	175
TOLEDO HARBOR, OH	3,525	4,000
TOM JENKINS DAM, OH	240	240
TOUSSAINT RIVER, OH	520	520
VERMILION HARBOR, OH	205	205 461
WEST FORK OF MILL CREEK LAKE, OH	461 30	30
WEST HARBOR, OH	992	992
WIBBIAFI R RARSHA BARE, OR	<i></i>	
OKLAHOMA		
ARCADIA LAKE, OK	451	451
BIRCH LAKE, OK	602	602
BROKEN BOW LAKE, OK	1,627	1,627 399
CANDY LAKE, OK	19 1,620	1,620
COPAN LAKE, OK.	821	1,100
EUFAULA LAKE, OK	5,546	6,200
FORT GIBSON LAKE, OK	4,352	4,352
FORT SUPPLY LAKE, OK	924	924
GREAT SALT PLAINS LAKE, OK	209	209
HEYBURN LAKE, OK	600	600 1,732
HUGO LAKE, OKHULAH LAKE, OK	1,732 426	750
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OK	94	94
KAW LAKE, OK	1,931	1,931
KEYSTONE LAKE, OK	4,647	4,647
MCCLELLAN - KERR ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, OK.	3,923	3,923
OOLOGAH LAKE, OK	2,360	2,360
OPTIMA LAKE, OK	59 34	59 34
PENSACOLA RESERVOIR, LAKE OF THE CHEROKEES, OK	1,187	1,187
ROBERT S KERR LOCK AND DAM AND RESERVOIRS, OK	4,648	4,648
SARDIS LAKE, OK	912	912
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, OK	389	389
SKIATOOK LAKE, OK	1,488	1,488
TENKILLER FERRY LAKE, OK	3,690	3,690
WAURIKA LAKE, OK	1,498	1,498 4,178
WEBBERS FALLS LOCK AND DAM, OK	4,178 580	580
WISIBA IMAB, OK		
OREGON		
APPLEGATE LAKE, OR	729	729
BLUE RIVER LAKE, OR	220	220
BONNEVILLE LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA	5,043	5,200
COLUMNIA S LUB WILL AMETITE D DIM VANCOUNED WAS DORTLA	14,770	390 16,300
COLUMBIA & LWR WILLAMETTE R BLW VANCOUVER, WA & PORTLA COLUMBIA RIVER AT THE MOUTH, OR & WA	6,632	9,200
COLUMBIA RIVER AT THE MOUTH, OR & WA	526	526
COOS BAY, OR	5,494	5,494

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
COQUILLE RIVER, OR	·	330
COTTAGE GROVE LAKE, OR	842	842
COUGAR LAKE, OR	732	732
DEPOE BAY, OR		2,000
DETROIT LAKE, OR	588	588
FALL CREEK LAKE, OR	635 419	635 419
FERN RIDGE LAKE, OR	989	989
GREEN PETER - FOSTER LAKES, OR	1,122	1,122
HILLS CREEK LAKE, OR	401	401
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OR	172	172
JOHN DAY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WALOOKOUT POINT LAKE, OR	3,416 1,613	4,416
LOST CREEK LAKE, OR	3,028	1,613 3,028
MCNARY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA	4,626	4,626
PORT ORFORD, OR	606	606
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, OR	200	200
ROGUE RIVER AT GOLD BEACH, OR		450
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, ORSIUSLAW RIVER, OR	71 466	71 566
SKIPANON CHANNEL, OR	5	566 325
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, OR	134	134
TILLAMOOK BAY AND BAR, OR	15	315
UMPQUA RIVER, OR	963	963
WILLAMETTE RIVER AT WILLAMETTE FALLS, OR	344	344
WILLAMETTE RIVER BANK PROTECTION, OR	67	67
YAQUINA BAY AND HARBOR, OR.	714 1,450	714 1,450
YAQUINA RIVER, DEPOT SLOUGH, OR	1,450	100
		200
PENNSYLVANIA		
ALLEGHENY RIVER, PA	4,070	4,070
ALVIN R BUSH DAM, PA	630	630
AYLESWORTH CREEK LAKE, PA	270	270
BELTZVILLE LAKE, PA	1,171	1,171
BLUE MARSH LAKE, PA	2,513	2,513
CONEMAUGH RIVER LAKE, PACOWANESQUE LAKE, PA	898	948
CROOKED CREEK LAKE, PA	1,915 1,746	1,915 1,746
CURWENSVILLE LAKE, PA	722	722
EAST BRANCH CLARION RIVER LAKE, PA	1,318	1,418
ERIE HARBOR, PA	60	60
FOSTER JOSEPH SAYERS DAM, PA	775	775
FRANCIS E WALTER DAM, PA	782	2,782
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, PA.	341 170	341 170
JOHNSTOWN, PA	1,243	1,500
KINZUA DAM AND ALLEGHENY RESERVOIR, PA	1,231	1,731
LOYALHANNA LAKE, PA	957	1,007
MAHONING CREEK LAKE, PA	848	848
MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA OHIO RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, PA, OH & WV	14,357	14,357
OHIO RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, PA, OH & WV	18,589 488	18,589 488
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, PA	18	18
PROMPTON LAKE, PA	506	506
PUNXSUTAWNEY, PA	13	13
RAYSTOWN LAKE, PA	3,941	4,241
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, PASCHUYLKILL RIVER, PA	60 50	60
SHENANGO RIVER LAKE, PA	50 2,734	50 2,734
STILLWATER LAKE, PA	392	392
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, PA	72	72
TIOGA - HAMMOND LAKES, PA	2,542	2,642
TIONESTA LAKE, PA	2,032	2,500
UNION CITY LAKE, PA	245	245

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
WOODCOCK CREEK LAKE, PA YORK INDIAN ROCK DAM, PA YOUGHIOGHENY RIVER LAKE, PA & MD.	761 543 1,895	761 543 1,895
RHODE ISLAND		
BLOCK ISLAND HARBOR OF REFUGE, RI. POINT JUDITH POND AND HARBOR OF REFUGE. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, RI. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, RI. PROVIDENCE RIVER AND HARBOR, RI.	502 6 2,330 8,220	502 120 6 2,330 17,000
SOUTH CAROLINA	0,220	17,000
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, SC. CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC. COOPER RIVER, CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC. FOLLY RIVER. GEORGETOWN HARBOR, SC. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, SC. PORT ROYAL HARBOR, SC PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, SC. SHIPYARD RIVER, SC. TOWN CREEK, SC. SOUTH DAKOTA BIG BEND DAM, LAKE SHARPE, SD. CHEYENNE RIVER SIOUX TRIBE, LOWER BRULE SIOUX, SD. COLD BROOK LAKE, SD. COTTONWOOD SPRINGS LAKE, SD. FORT RANDALL DAM, LAKE FRANCIS CASE, SD. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, SD. LAKE TRAVERSE, SD & MN. MISSOURI R BETWEEN FORT PECK DAM AND GAVINS PT, SD, MT	264 10,516 3,140 3,073 26 69 816 9,137 211 184 9,016 24 504	3,000 10,516 6,890 257 3,700 26 1,000 69 816 396 9,137 5,000 211 184 9,016 24 504
OAHE DAM, LAKE OAHE, SD & ND	500 12,885 69	500 12,885 69
TENNESSEE		
CENTER HILL LAKE, TN. CHEATHAM LOCK AND DAM, TN. CHICKAMAUGA LOCK, TN. CORDELL HULL DAM AND RESERVOIR, TN. DALE HOLLOW LAKE, TN. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TN. J PERCY PRIEST DAM AND RESERVOIR, TN. OLD HICKORY LOCK AND DAM, TN. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, TN. TENNESSEE RIVER, TN. WOLF RIVER HARBOR, TN.	6,031 6,257 1,025 6,407 5,720 129 2,954 6,598 6 15,794	6,031 6,257 1,025 6,407 5,720 129 2,954 6,598 6 15,794
TEXAS		
AQUILLA LAKE, TX. ARKANSAS - RED RIVER BASINS CHLORIDE CONTROL - AREA VI BARBOUR TERMINAL CHANNEL, TX. BAYPORT SHIP CHANNEL, TX. BELTON LAKE, TX. BENBROOK LAKE, TX. BRAZOS ISLAND HARBOR, TX. BUFFALO BAYOU AND TRIBUTARIES, TX. CANYON LAKE, TX. CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, TX. DENISON DAM, LAKE TEXOMA, TX.	743 1,373 606 1,574 2,389 2,707 2,011 2,143 3,126 2,498 5,669 6,132	743 1,373 606 1,574 2,389 3,100 2,011 2,143 3,126 2,498 5,669 6,732

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
ESTELLINE SPRINGS EXPERIMENTAL PROJECT, TX	5	5
FERRELLS BRIDGE DAM, LAKE O' THE PINES, TX	2,682	. 2,682
FREEPORT HARBOR, TX	7,298	7,298
GALVESTON HARBOR AND CHANNEL, TX	4,887	4,887
GRANGER DAM AND LAKE, TX	1,612	1,612
GRAPEVINE LAKE, TX	2,602	2,602
GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, TX	20,829	22,300
HORDS CREEK LAKE, TX	1,250	1,250
HOUSTON SHIP CHANNEL, TX	8,254	12,000
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TX	498	498
JIM CHAPMAN LAKE, TX	1,248	1,248
JOE POOL LAKE, TX	823	823
LAVON LAKE, TX.	150	150
LEWISVILLE DAM, TX	2,609	2,609
MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX	3,134 1,748	3,134
MOUTH OF THE COLORADO RIVER, TX	2,604	1,748 2,604
NAVARRO MILLS LAKE, TX	1,676	1,676
NORTH SAN GABRIEL DAM AND LAKE GEORGETOWN, TX	1,835	2,835
O C FISHER DAM AND LAKE, TX	872	872
PAT MAYSE LAKE, TX	1,116	1,116
PROCTOR LAKE, TX	1,623	2,200
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, TX	50	50
RAY ROBERTS LAKE, TX	862	862
SABINE - NECHES WATERWAY, TX	14,986	14,986
SAM RAYBURN DAM AND RESERVOIR, TX	4,559	4,559
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, TX	255	255
SOMERVILLE LAKE, TX	2,683	2,683
STILLHOUSE HOLLOW DAM, TX.	1,805	1,805
TEXAS WATER ALLOCATION ASSESSMENT, TX	300	400
TOWN BLUFF DAM, B A STEINHAGEN LAKE, TX	2,135	2,135
WACO LAKE, TX		300
WALLISVILLE LAKE, TX	2,270	3,158
WHITNEY LAKE, TX	999 5,205	999
WRIGHT PATMAN DAM AND LAKE, TX	2,742	5,205 2,742
	2,742	2,142
UTAH		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, UTSCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, UT	81 364	81
	364	364
VERMONT		
BALL MOUNTAIN LAKE, VT	705	705
BURLINGTON HARBOR BREAKWATER, VT	2,150	800
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, VT	26	26
NARROWS OF LAKE CHAMPLAIN, VT & NY	95	95
NORTH HARTLAND LAKE, VT	576	576
NORTH SPRINGFIELD LAKE, VT	647	647
TOWNSHEND LAKE, VT	687	687
UNION VILLAGE DAM, VT	538	538
VIRGINIA		
APPOMATTOX RIVER, VA		1 000
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY - ACC, VA	2,035	1,000 2,035
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY - DSC, VA	1,159	1,159
CHINCOTEAGUE HARBOR OF REFUGE, VA	155	155
CHINCOTEAGUE INLET, VA	1,124	1,124
DAVIS CREEK, VA	350	350
DEEP CREEK, NEWPORT NEW, VA		800
GATHRIGHT DAM AND LAKE MOOMAW, VA	1,612	1,612
HAMPTON RDS, NORFOLK & NEWPORT NEWS HBR (DRIFT REMOVAL	1,200	1,200
HORN HARBOR, VA	270	270
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, VA	111	111

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
JAMES RIVER CHANNEL, VA	2 001	4.555
TOUN U VERR CHANNEL, VA		4,300
JOHN H KERR LAKE, VA & NC	9,890	9,890
JOHN W FLANNAGAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, VA	1,334	1,334
LYNNHAVEN INLET, VA	225	425
NORFOLK HARBOR (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS), V	200	200
NORFOLK HARBOR, VA	8,679	8,679
NORTH FORK OF POUND RIVER LAKE, VA	297	297
OCCOQUAN RIVER, VA		2,000
PHILPOTT LAKE, VA	4,377	4,377
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, VA		749
QUINBY CREEK, VA	400	400
RUDEE INLET, VA	1,030	1,030
WATERWAY ON THE COAST OF VIRGINIA, VA	1,150	1,150
WHITINGS CREEK, MIDDLESEX CO, VA	350	350
YORK RIVER, VA		750
WASHINGTON		
CHIEF JOSEPH DAM, WA	853	853
COLUMBIA RIVER AT BAKER BAY, WA		630
EVERETT HARBOR AND SNOHOMISH RIVER, WA	1,355	1,355
GRAYS HARBOR AND CHEHALIS RIVER, WA	8,781	11,500
HOWARD HANSON DAM, WA	1,777	1,777
ICE HARBOR LOCK AND DAM, WA	5,065	5,065
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WA	257	
LAKE WASHINGTON SHIP CANAL, WA	7,479	257 7,479
LITTLE GOOSE LOCK AND DAM, WA	•	•
LOWER GRANITE LOCK AND DAM, WA	1,268	1,268
	5,244	5,244
LOWER MONUMENTAL LOCK AND DAM, WA	3,291	3,291
MILL CREEK LAKE, WA	947	947
MT ST HELENS SEDIMENT CONTROL, WA	321	321
MUD MOUNTAIN DAM, WA	2,075	2,075
NEAH BAY, WA		1,200
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, WA	253	253
PUGET SOUND AND TRIBUTARY WATERS, WA	999	999
QUILLAYUTE RIVER, WA	975	975
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, WA	439	439
SEATTLE HARBOR, WA	640	640
STILLAGUAMISH RIVER, WA	247	247
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, WA	60	60
TACOMA, PUYALLUP RIVER, WA	127	127
THE DALLES LOCK AND DAM, WA & OR	2,264	2,264
WILLAPA RIVER AND HARBOR, WA	492	492
WEST VIRGINIA		
BEECH FORK LAKE, WV	1,167	1,167
BLUESTONE LAKE, WV	1,149	5,149
BURNSVILLE LAKE, WV	1,555	1,555
EAST LYNN LAKE, WV	1,832	1,832
ELK RIVER HARBOR, WV	440	440
ELKINS, WV	16	16
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WV	131	131
KANAWHA RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, WV	7,544	7,544
OHIO RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, WV, KY & OH	18,991	18,991
OHIO RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, WV, KY & OH	3,260	3,260
R D BAILEY LAKE, WV	1,431	1,431
STONEWALL JACKSON LAKE, WV	905	905
SUMMERSVILLE LAKE, WV.	1,603	1,603
SUTTON LAKE, WV	1,777	1,777
TYGART LAKE, WV.	5,546	5,546
	3,340	3,340

	BUDGET REQUEST	
WISCONSIN		
ASHLAND HARBOR, WI	180	180
EAU GALLE RIVER LAKE, WI		820
FOX RIVER, WI		6,372
GREEN BAY HARBOR, WI	1,924	1,924
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WI		31
KENOSHA HARBOR, WI	1,315 75	1,315 75
MANITOWOC HARBOR, WI		278
MILWAUKEE HARBOR, WI	789	789
OCONTO HARBOR, WI	13	13
PORT WASHINGTON HARBOR, WI	261	261
PORT WING HARBOR, WI		6
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, WI	56	56
SAXON HARBOR, WISHEBOYGAN HARBOR, WI	45 1,603	45 1,603
STURGEON BAY HARBOR AND LAKE MICHIGAN SHIP CANAL, WI		1,578
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, WI		498
TWO RIVERS HARBOR, WI	471	471
WYOMING		
JACKSON HOLE LEVEES, WY	1,233	1,233
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, WY		101
MISCELLANEOUS		
ACIDETC MITCHMEN COMPON PROPERCY	725	725
AQUATIC NUISANCE CONTROL RESEARCH		285
COASTAL INLET RESEARCH PROGRAM		2,750
CULTURAL RESOURCES (NAGPRA/CURATION)	•	1,545
DREDGE WHEELER READY RESERVE	8,000	8,000
DREDGING DATA AND LOCK PERFORMANCE MONITORING SYSTEM	1,180	1,180
DREDGING OPERATIONS AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH (DOER). DREDGING OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT (DOTS) PROGRAM	6,755 1,545	6,755 1,545
EARTHQUAKE HAZARDS PROGRAM FOR BUILDINGS AND LIFELINES	300	300
FACILITY PROTECTION		35,000
GREAT LAKES SEDIMENT TRANSPORT MODELS	1,000	1,000
HARBOR MAINTENANCE FEE DATA COLLECTION		675
INLAND WATERWAY NAVIGATION PROJECTS		4,120
MONITORING OF COASTAL NAVIGATION PROJECTS NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM	•	1,750 45
NATIONAL DAM SECURITY PROGRAM		
NATIONAL EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS PROGRAMS (NEPP)		4,120
NATIONAL LEWIS AND CLARK COMMEMORATION COORDINATOR	310	310
PERFORMANCE BASED BUDGETING SUPPORT PROGRAM	815	815
PROTECTING, CLEARING AND STRAIGHTENING CHANNELS(SEC 3)	50	50
RECREATION MANAGEMENT SUPPORT PROGRAM (RMSP) REGIONAL SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM	1,545 1,545	1,545 1,545
RELIABILITY MODELS PROGRAM FOR MAJOR REHABILITATION	675	•
REMOVAL OF SUNKEN VESSELS	500	500
WATER OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT (WOTS) PROGRAM	725	725
WATERBORNE COMMERCE STATISTICS		4,745
HYDROPOWER MAINTENANCE		-49,000
REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE ADJUSTMENT FOR ACTUAL RETIREMENT ACCRUALS	•	-80,000
	-240	=========
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		1,940,167
	========	==========

TITLE II

Department of the Interior

Central Utah Project

Central Utah Project Completion Account

The conference agreement appropriates \$36,228,000 to carry out the provisions of the Central Utah Project Completion Act as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Bureau of Reclamation

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Bureau of Reclamation. Additional items of conference agreement are discussed below.

Water and Related Resources

The conference agreement appropriates \$813,491,000 for Water and Related Resources instead of \$807,518,000 as proposed by the House and \$816,147,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement provides \$1,097,000 for the South/Central Arizona Investigations program, including \$300,000 for the West Salt River Valley Water Management study.

The amount provided for the American River Division of the Central Valley

Project includes \$500,000 for work associated with the construction of a parallel pipeline
to serve the City of Roseville and the San Juan Water District; and \$750,000 for the

Bureau of Reclamation to initiate construction of a temperature control device on the El

Dorado Irrigation District water intake.

The amount provided for the Delta Division of the Central Valley Project includes \$900,000 for the Bureau of Reclamation to continue design of an intertie between the Delta-Mendota Canal and the California Aqueduct.

The amount provided for the East Side Division of the Central Valley Project includes an additional \$1,000,000 for the Bureau of Reclamation to continue work to upgrade the water and sewer systems at New Melones Lake and perform a visitor capacity study at New Melones Lake.

The amount provided for Miscellaneous Project Programs of the Central Valley Project includes \$300,000 for post-construction activities at the Banta-Carbona Irrigation District fish screen project; \$300,000 for an investigation of resource problems and needs in the Mokelumne River watershed; and \$400,000 for phase II of the Kaweah River Delta Corridor Enhancement study.

The amount provided for the Sacramento River Division of the Central Valley Project includes \$2,000,000 for the continuing evaluation of water diversion and fishery protection options at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam; \$400,000 for implementation of the Colusa Basin Integrated Resources Management Plan for critical flood control, conjunctive use, and waterfowl habitat activities; \$1,500,000 for the Bureau of Reclamation to accelerate investigations associated with determining the feasibility of construction at the Sites Reservoir and other activities described in the House Report; and \$500,000 for the Bureau of Reclamation to participate with Butte County, California, in development of an integrated resource management plan.

The conference agreement includes \$1,342,000 for the Southern California

Investigations Program, including \$200,000 for the Bureau of Reclamation to work with

the Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency as described in the House Report, and \$300,000 for the Bureau of Reclamation to participate with the Santa Ana Watershed Project Authority in the Chino Basin Conjunctive Use Program.

The report requested in the House Report related to the City of Needles, California, should be provided 30 days after enactment of this Act.

The conference agreement includes \$3,000,000 for the Lake Tahoe Regional Wetlands Development Program in California and Nevada as proposed by the House and the Senate. The conferees have also agreed to include language in the bill which authorizes the Bureau of Reclamation to negotiate and enter into financial assistance agreements with public and private organizations for activities under the Program and which provides that costs associated with such activities are non-reimbursable.

The conferees direct the Bureau of Reclamation, under the Santa Margarita feasibility authorization, to perform the studies needed to address current and future municipal, domestic, military, environmental, and other water uses from the Santa Margarita River, California.

The conference agreement includes \$15,000,000 for the Columbia and Snake Rivers Salmon Recovery project in Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. Within the funds provided, \$500,000 is for continued fishery habitat improvements in the John Day River Subbasin project in Oregon.

The conferees are aware of the pending biological opinion in effect on the Rio Grande. In New Mexico, municipalities, farmers, and the silvery minnow all compete for water, a scarce resource. Add the current drought conditions, and a delicate balance must be maintained. Accordingly, the conference agreement for the Middle Rio Grande

project includes funding for the following activities: \$3,834,000 for habitat restoration; \$1,615,000 for silvery minnow population management; \$480,000 for fish passage activities; \$200,000 for non-native species management; \$3,415,000 for water management activities; and \$507,000 for activities to improve water quality. The conferees direct the Bureau of Reclamation to consult with the Fish and Wildlife Service on silvery minnow monitoring and habitat efforts.

The conferees have provided \$707,000 for the Oklahoma Investigations Program, including \$500,000 for a hydrology and water resources management study of the Arbuckle-Simpson Aquifer.

The conference agreement includes \$4,599,000 for the Drought Emergency Assistance Program. Of the total, \$400,000 is for drought emergency planning in Nebraska; \$500,000 is to rehabilitate and replace existing wells and construct new wells in the City and County of Santa Fe, New Mexico; \$800,000 is for assistance to the State of Montana; and \$2,000,000 is for a regional weather modification program in the states of Kansas, Nevada, New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Texas. The conferees also urge the Bureau of Reclamation to provide full and fair consideration of the request for drought assistance from the State of Hawaii.

The conferees are in agreement that funds made available in Public Law 107-117 and in this Act for increased site security and other counter-terrorism activities shall be non-reimbursable.

Within the funds provided for the Water Management and Conservation Program, \$500,000 is for water conservation programs within the service area of the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California.

The conference agreement includes \$3,500,000 for the Title XVI Water Reclamation and Reuse Program, including \$2,000,000 to support the WateReuse Foundation's research program, and \$125,000 for an appraisal level investigation of water recycling opportunities in the Desert Hot Springs area of California. In addition, the Bureau of Reclamation is directed to undertake feasibility studies of the potential for water reclamation and reuse in North Las Vegas, Nevada, in cooperation with the Southern Nevada Water Authority.

The conference agreement includes \$4,000,000 for the Desalination Research and Development Program. Within the amount provided, \$3,000,000 is for construction of a national desalination research facility to be located in the Tularosa Basin, New Mexico. With the funds provided, the Bureau of Reclamation shall develop and implement a coordinated research investment strategy based on the Tularosa Basin National Desalination Research Center feasibility study developed during fiscal year 2002.

The conference agreement includes bill language which provides that \$10,000,000 of the funds appropriated for Water and Related Resources shall be deposited in the San Gabriel Basin Restoration Fund, instead of \$12,000,000 as proposed by the House.

The conference agreement does not include language proposed by the Senate related to drought assistance.

Central Valley Project Restoration Fund

The conference agreement appropriates \$48,904,000 for the Central Valley Project Restoration Fund as proposed by the House and the Senate.

The conferees are in agreement with the language in the House Report regarding the Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District Fish Screen Improvement Project and the Anadromous Fish Screen Program.

California Bay-Delta Ecosystem Restoration

The conference agreement includes no funds in the California Bay-Delta Ecosystem Restoration account as proposed by the House and the Senate.

The conferees have provided an additional \$23,000,000 within the various units of the Central Valley Project under the Water and Related Resources account for activities that support the goals of the California Bay-Delta Ecosystem Restoration Program, instead of \$30,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees are aware that legislation to authorize this multi-year, multi-billion dollar program is under consideration by the Congress, but has yet to be enacted. Absent such an authorization, it will be difficult for the Congress to continue its support for this program. Therefore, the conferees strongly urge the parties involved to work to enact an authorization for the program so additional funding can be considered in the fiscal year 2004 appropriations cycle. The additional funds provided in support of the program are to used as follows:

Delta Division: \$2,500,000 for planning and management activities; \$250,000 to continue evaluations of the Delta Wetlands project and other in-delta storage proposals; \$2,000,000 for planning activities associated with enlarging the Los Vaqueros reservoir; and \$3,500,000 to construct the Tracy Fish Test Facility.

Friant Division: \$1,750,000 for storage investigations in the Upper San Joaquin Watershed.

Miscellaneous Project Programs: \$9,000,000 for the Environmental Water Account.

Sacramento River Division: \$1,500,000 to continue planning activities related to Sites Reservoir.

Shasta Division: \$2,500,000 to continue evaluating the potential impacts of the proposed Shasta Dam raise.

Administrative Provision

The conference agreement provides that funds available for the Bureau of Reclamation shall be available for the purchase of not to exceed 16 passenger motor vehicles, of which 12 are for replacement only, as proposed by the House, instead of the purchase of four passenger motor vehicles for replacement only as proposed by the Senate.

Policy and Administration

The conference agreement appropriates \$54,870,000 for Policy and Administration as proposed by the House and the Senate.

General Provisions

Department of the Interior

Section 201. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House authorizing the Bureau of Reclamation to continue its program of providing grants to institutions of higher learning to support the training of Native Americans to manage natural resources. The conferees have agreed to make the language permanent as proposed in the Administration's fiscal year 2004 budget request.

Section 202. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House and the Senate regarding the San Luis Unit and the Kesterson Reservoir in California.

Section 203. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House which amends section 212 of the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2001, related to Sly Park Unit in California.

Section 204. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House which clarifies that the San Gabriel Basin Restoration Fund may be used to reimburse the Central Basin Municipal Water District for certain expenditures.

Section 205. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate establishing requirements for the purchase or lease of water from the Middle Rio Grande or Carlsbad projects in New Mexico.

Section 206. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate concerning drought emergency assistance.

Section 207. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate regarding the restoration of fish, wildlife, and associated habitats in watersheds of certain lakes.

Section 208. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate regarding contracting percentages for the Bureau of Reclamation.

Section 209. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate directing the Bureau of Reclamation to undertake studies for the North Central Montana Rural Water Supply project.

Section 210. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate extending the authorization for the Water Desalination Act of 1996.

Section 211. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate authorizing the North Las Vegas Water Reuse project.

Section 212. The conference agreement includes language which provides that Division none of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available in this Act or any prior any Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act may be used for the settlement agreement of Sumner Peck Ranch, Inc. v. Bureau of Reclamation (Civ. No F-91-048 OWW (E.D. Cal)).

Section 213. The conference agreement includes language which amends the Salton Sea Reclamation Act of 1998. The conferees have also agreed to provide \$2,000,000 for the Bureau of Reclamation to continue the program to perform research and construct water reclamation and wetlands projects to improve water quality in the Alamo River and New River, Imperial County, California.

Section 214. The conference agreement includes language authorizing the Bureau of Reclamation to conduct a feasibility study of options for additional water storage in the Yakima River Basin with emphasis on the feasibility of storage of Columbia River water in the potential Black Rock Reservoir. The conferees have provided \$1,000,000 for this work.

Section 215. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the

Senate related to certain CALFED related activities undertaken by the Secretary of the

Interior. The language has been amended to remove the reference to the CALFED Bay
Delta Authority. The conferees agree that this language does not authorize the CALFED

Record of Decision.

insent hyphen

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

MANAGEMENT OM&R	RESOURCES FACILITIES	BUDGET REQUEST
MANAGEMENT	RESOURCES	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
OM&R	FACILITIES	ALLOWANCE

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES

ARIZONA

FRIANT DIVISION	FAST SIDE DIVISION	DELTA DIVISION	AUBURN-FOLSOM SOUTH UNIT	CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT: AMERICAN RIVER DIVISION	CALLEGUAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT RECYCLING PLANT	CALIFORNIA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	CACHUMA AREA PROJECTS	CALIFORNIA	YUMA AREA PROJECTS	TUCSON AREA WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE STUDY	TRES RIOS WETLANDS DEMONSTRATION	SOUTH/CENTRAL ARIZONA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	SOUTHERN ARIZONA WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT ACT PROJECT	SALT RIVER PROJECT	PHOENIX METROPOLITAN WATER REUSE PROJECT	NORTHERN ARIZONA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	FORT MCDOWELL SETTLEMENT ACT	COLORADO RIVER FRONT WORK AND LEVEE SYSTEM	COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL PROJECT, TITLE I	CENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT, COLORADO RIVER BASIN	AK CHIN WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT ACT PROJECT	
2,276	1,230	11,095	7,707	2,043	1,000	417	778		1,658	100	200	797	4,825	39	250	422	500	3,450	731	34,709	; !	
3,024	3,855	5,323	44	9,658	1 1	1 1	557		19,107	;	: :	i i	1 1	1 1	1 1	† †	1 4 1	: :	10,240	74	6,200	
4,026	1,230	18,245	7,707	3,293	1,400	417	778		1,658	100	500	1,097	4,825	39	250	422	500	5,450	731	34,709	1 1	
3,024	4,855	5,323	44	9,658	1 1	1 1	557		19,107	1 1	. !	1 1	1 1	1 1		; !	1 1		10,240	74	6,200	



BUDGET REQUEST
RESOURCES FACILITIES
MANAGEMENT OMER

CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
RESOURCES FACILITIES
MANAGEMENT OMER

WAISONVILLE AREA WAIER RECICLING PRODECT	SUCCESSION CARRY TRANSPORT THE THE THE TRANSPORT	CONTROL CALLEGENIA INVESTIGATIONS DEOGRAM	SOLANO DROJECT	SAN JOSE WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE PROGRAM	SAN GABRIEL BASIN RESTORTATION PROJECT	SAN GABRIEL BASIN PROJECT	SAN DIEGO RIVER RESTORATION	SAN DIEGO AREA WATER RECLAMATION PROGRAM	SALTON SEA RESEARCH PROJECT	ATER F	ORLAND PROJECT	ORANGE COUNTY REGIONAL WATER RECLAMATION PROJECT, PHAS	NORTH SAN DIEGO COUNTY AREA WATER RECYCLING PROJECT	NAPA- SOMOMA - MARIN AGRICULTURAL REUSE PROJECT	MISSION BASIN BRACKISH GROUNDWATER DESALTING DEMO	LONG BEACH DESALINATION PROJECT	LONG BEACH AREA WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE PROJECT	LAKE TAHOE REGIONAL WETLANDS DEVELOPMENT	YIELD FEASIBILITY INVESTIGATION	WEST SAN JOAQUIN DIVISION, SAN LUIS UNIT	WATER AND POWER OPERATIONS	TRINITY RIVER DIVISION	SHASTA DIVISION	SAN JOAQUIN DIVISION	SAN FELIPE DIVISION	SACRAMENTO RIVER DIVISION	REPLACEMENTS, ADDITIONS, AND EXTRAORDINARY MAINT	MISCELLANEOUS PROJECT PROGRAMS	
		842	1,248	2,000	1	1,800	:	6,000	1,000	1 1	39	1,800	1,800	1 1	1 1	1 1	1,500	200	1,000	5,989	1,791	7,727	1,543	249	519	4,921	.1 1 1	12,726	
	! !	1	1,513	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	;	430	1 1	1 1	- 1	i ;	1) ! !	1	6,018	7,614	5,572	8,042		1 1	1,780	16,000	1,027	
i	500	1,342	1,248	3,000	10,000	1,800	500	6,500	3,000	500	39	2,000	2,000	500	300	1,000	1,800	3,000	1,000	5,989	1,791	7,727	4,043	249	519	7,821		22,726	
	1	t 5	1,513	i : 1	•	1 1) 1,	. 1	:	t 1 1	430	. t	:	1 1	1 1	*		;	1 1	6,018	7,614	5,572	8,042	1 1	1 1	1,780	11,000	1,027	

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

COLLBRAN PROJECT, CRSP SECTION 5 & 8 COLLBRAN PROJECT. COLORADO INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM COLORADO-BIG THOMPSON PROJECT - HORSETOOTH DAM FRUITGROWERS DAM PROJECT - HORSETOOTH DAM FRYINGPAN-ARKANSAS PROJECT. FRYINGPAN-ARKANSAS RIVER RECOVERY PROJECT MANCOS PROJECT. PARADOX VALLEY UNIT, CRBSCP, TITLE II PARADOX VALLEY PROJECT. SAN LUIS VALLEY PROJECT. UNCOMPAHGRE PROJECT.	BUDGET REQUEST RESOURCES FACILI MANAGEMENT 33,000 122 1, 75 12 31, 41 6, 224 41 582 1, 582 1, 582 1, 143	TIES OM&R 212 212 265 1100 118 785 612 552 568 65 0666 113	CONFERENCE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	ALLOWANCE FACILITIES OMER 1,212 1,212 10,265 31,100 118 6,985 612 1,552 50 1,968 612 1,968 612
BOISE AREA PROJECTS	2,714 15,000 100 578 3,282 200	3,192	2,714 15,000 100 578 3,282 200	3,192 2,194
KANSAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	235	1 1 1	235	1

KANSAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM.....

MANAGEMENT	OM&R	MANAGEMENT	OM&R
!	285	i i i	285
;	; ;	7,500	1 1 1
!!!	300	1	300
320	826	320	826
]	4 600	: : : :
71	78	71	78
! !	!	390	! !
6,215	2,339	6,215	2,339
1,000	t 1	1,500	1 1
1 1	1 1 1	`.	;
i i	!!!	400	<u> </u>
1,644	1,126	1,644	1,126
; ; ; ;	; ; ; ; ; ;	100 250	i i
7,200	8,263	17,200	18,263
بين ا	NAGEMENT		285 285 300 826 339 2,339 2,339 1,126

$(\overline{z}b)$

NAVAJO GALLUP WATER SUPPLY PROJECT ARBUCKLE PROJECT..... MCGEE CREEK PROJECT..... MOUNTAIN PARK PROJECT..... SANTA FE - WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE PROJECT..... RIO GRANDE PROJECT.....SAN JUAN RIVER BASIN INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM..... PECOS RIVER BASIN WATER SALVAGE PROJECT CROOKED RIVER PROJECT..... NORMAN PROJECT..... NORTH DAKOTA OKLAHOMA OREGON RESOURCES MANAGEMENT 239 400 20,662 1,054 243 ---196 BUDGET REQUEST 19 165 300 225 207 301 FACILITIES OM&R 4,577 2,953 1 1 1 1 1 1 742 293 193 452 306 208 546 27 MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE RESOURCES FACILITIES 239 400 24,000 1,054 243 500 196 19 165 475 301 FACILITIES 4,577 500 2,953 193 452 306 208 OM&R 742 293 546

	BUDGET RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	CONFERENCE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	ALLOWANCE FACILITIES OM&R
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	
DESCHUTES ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PROJECT	500	1 1	875	; !
	382	152	382	152
	:	12,300	:	12,300
PROJECT, TUMALO	1 1	† 1	1,300	! !
EASTERN OREGON PROJECTS	308	275	308	275
GRANDE RONDE WATER OPTIMIZATION STUDY	150	i ;		1 1
KLAMATH PROJECT	13,644	623	16,500	623
ATIONS	333	1	333	
RIVER BASIN PROG	: :	1 1	250	: ; : :
RIVER BASIN PROJECT, TALENT	454	169	454	169
	238	125	238	125
SUPPLY FEASIBILITY	25	1 1 1	25	1
UMATILLA BASIN PROJECT, PHASE III STUDY	50	1)) !) !
UMATILLA PROJECT	408	2,363	0	2,363
WILLOW LAKE NATURAL TREATMENT SYSTEM	1	- E - E	600	1 1
SOUTH DAKOTA				
LEWIS AND CLARK RURAL WATER SYSTEM	2,000 10,000	8 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	7,000 17,860 30,772	40 8.228
MNI WICONI PROJECT PERKINS COUNTY RURAL WATER SALVAGE PROJECT) E		4,300	27
TEXAS				
AUSTIN WATER RECLAMATION PROJECTBALMORHEA PROJECT	1 1 1	71 109	275	71 109



COLUMBIA BASIN PROJECT	NOGLES RIVER SAN ANGELO PROJECT TEXAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM UTAH HYRUM PROJECT		
4,485 518 598 11,900	217 217 120 120 43 100 52 350 677 97 279 1,455		BUDGET RESOURCES MANAGEMENT
6,346 6,156	307 307 24 53 54 493 71	392	REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R
4,885 250 518 598 13,800	217 217 120 43 100 52 301 350 677 97 279 1,455	800 500 1,500	CONFERENCI RESOURCES MANAGEMENT
6,346 6,156	3077 3077 24 53 444 493 71	392	RENCE ALLOWANCE CES FACILITIES OMER

WYOMING KENDRICK PROJECT	
10,087 10,087 7,178 3,970 150 2,600 899 3,087 1,178 1,706 1,706 1,890	BUDGET RESOURCES MANAGEMENT
2,568 1,324 1,324 1,232 1,232 2,302 2,302 2,302 2,302 2,302 2,302 14,315 50 14,315 50 14,315	REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R
1,000 4 10 10 10 37 7,278 3,970 150 3,350 4,599 3,087 12,747 11,706 1,890	CONFERENCE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT
2,568 1,324 1,232 1,232 2,302 2,302 2,302 1,275 21,910 14,315 5,597	RENCE ALLOWANCE CES FACILITIES ENT OM&R



BUDGET REQUEST
RESOURCES FACILITIES
MANAGEMENT OM&R

CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
RESOURCES FACILITIES
MANAGEMENT OMER

WATER MANAGEMENT & CONSERVATION PROGRAM	UNITED STATES/MEXICO BORDER ISSUES - TECHNICAL SUPPORT	REUSE		AND MOISTURE	SITE SECURITY	WATERSHED/RIVER SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT PROGRAM	TECHNOLOGY ADVANCEMENT	HYDROELECTRIC INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION/ENHANCEMEN	DESALINATION RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	APPLIED SCIENCE /TECHNOLOGY AND DEVELOPMENT	SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY: ADVANCED WATER TREATMENT DESALINATION PROGRAM	RECREATION & FISH & WILDLIFE PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION	RECLAMATION RECREATION MANAGEMENT - TITLE XXVIII	RECLAMATION LAW ADMINISTRATION	PUBLIC ACCESS AND SAFETY PROGRAM	POWER PROGRAM SERVICES	PICK-SLOAN MISSOURI BASIN - OTHER PROJECTS	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE PROGRAM MANAGEMENT		NATIVE AMERICAN AFFAIRS PROGRAM	NATIONAL FISH & WILDLIFE FOUNDATION	MISCELLANEOUS FLOOD CONTROL OPERATIONS	LOWER COLORADO RIVER OPERATIONS PROGRAM	LOWER COLORADO RIVER INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	LAND RESOURCES MANAGEMENT PROGRAM	STUDIES	FEDERAL BUILDING SEISMIC SAFETY PROGRAM	
6,581	67	1,500	1,942	326		1,000	350	900	100	3,490	1,310	2,292	, 000	4,469	. 44.0	969	2,828	420	1,185	8,500	850	1 1	12,421	275	9,689	2,195	: :	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
1 1 1	1 1	!!!	:	:	28,440		1 1	1	;]	1	1	1	: I	1 1	244	30,759	921	1 1	1 .1	1	594	! ! ;	1 1	: 1		1,390	1 1 1 1 1 1 1
6,581	67	3,500	1,900	326	1 1	1,000	350	900	4,000	3,490	1,310	4,434) i	٥ . ١	4000	400	, a	420	1,185	8,500	1 00	: :	12,421		8,000			;]]] ; ; ; ;
1 1		: ;	1	1 1	28,440)))))	;	t t	} !	1 1	1		1	i i	1 1	4 4	30,739	126 05) ;	1 1	!!!	594	1 !	† !	1 1	1	1,390	; i i i i

351,656	461,835	344,983	381,164	TOTAL, WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES
:	-80,700	i i	-37,942	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION BASED ON ANTICIPATED DELAYS
i i	3,617	, ! !	3,117	WETLANDS DEVELOPMENT
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
OM&R	MANAGEMENT	OM&R	MANAGEMENT	
FACILITIES	RESOURCES	FACILITIES	RESOURCES	
CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE	CONFERENC	BUDGET REQUEST	BUDGET	

TITLE III

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Department of Energy. Additional items of conference agreement are discussed below.

BUDGET JUSTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

The conferees agree with the House language regarding prior approval of proposed budget structure changes. The conferees also agree that budget justifications submitted by the Department must include a section identifying the last year that authorizing legislation was provided by Congress for each program, funding within each construction project data sheet for elimination of excess facilities at least equal to the square footage of new replacement facilities being requested, and funding to eliminate excess facilities at least equal to the square footage of new replacement facilities being constructed as general plant projects.

SAFEGUARDS AND SECURITY FUNDING

The conferees direct the Department to continue to identify safeguards and security funding as a separate line item in the budget justifications. The conferees also direct the Department to review contractor cost allocation practices to ensure that contractor practices are in compliance with the Cost Accounting Standards and that

safeguards and security costs are not being allocated a disproportionate share of indirect costs. The Committees on Appropriations should be informed of the results of this review upon completion.

MANAGEMENT OF NON-NNSA WORK AT NNSA FACILITIES

The conferees direct the Secretary to report promptly to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations regarding the procedures established pursuant to Sections 3264 and 3213 of Public Law 106-65, as amended by Section 3157 of Public Law 106-398. The report should include written copies of the tasking agreements, delegations of authority, or other arrangements that demonstrate compliance with these statutory provisions.

PROJECT MANAGEMENT

The conferees support language included in the House report regarding the efforts of the Office of Engineering and Construction Management (OECM) to improve the Department's construction and project management.

FACILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE

The conferees agree with House language regarding efforts to strengthen and standardize management of the Department's facilities and infrastructure (F&I) program and to address management of all F&I assets. The conferees do not agree with the House proposal to provide direct funding of all maintenance in the fiscal year 2004 budget. However, the Department is directed to ensure that adequate funds are budgeted for

NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

The conference agreement provides \$145,00,000 for Nuclear Waste Disposal, instead of \$209,702,000 as proposed by the House and \$56,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. When combined with the \$315,000,000 appropriated from the Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal account, a total of \$460,000,000 will be available for program activities in fiscal year 2003. The conference agreement includes not to exceed \$2,500,000 for the State of Nevada and \$7,000,000 for affected units of local government. The conferees direct the Department to provide \$2,000,000 to Clark County, Nevada, to study and demonstrate the integration of emergency response planning systems and advanced transportation technologies. The conferees further direct that \$2,500,000 be provided to the Research Foundation of the University of Nevada, Las Vegas, for continuing and expanding its efforts in ground water characterization and research into the transport and fate of radionuclides in the vicinity of the Yucca Mountain repository.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement provides \$309,872,000 for Departmental Administration expenses instead of \$249,259,000 as proposed by the House and \$295,587,000 as proposed by the Senate. Funding adjustments include the transfer of \$87,468,000 from Other Defense Activities and the use of \$15,000,000 of prior year balances. Revenues of \$120,000,000, a reduction of \$17,524,000 from the budget request, are estimated to be received in fiscal year 2003, resulting in a net appropriation of \$87,404,000.

Specific funding levels for each Departmental organization are provided in the accompanying table.

Engineering and construction management reviews.—The conference agreement provides \$5,000,000 for the Office of Engineering and Construction Management for external independent reviews of proposed projects and programs.

Cybersecurity and secure communications.—The conferees have provided \$30,000,000 for cybersecurity and secure communications.

Corporate management information program.—The conferees have provided \$15,000,000 for the Department's Corporate Management Information Program.

Cost of work for others.—Since initiating direct budgeting and funding of safeguards and security activities, the Department has used the cost of work for others program to fund reimbursable safeguards and security costs incurred for work performed for other Federal agencies. This was originally planned to be a one-year transitional fixes however, the Department has continued to use this procedure beyond that time. The conferees expect the Department to submit to the Committees on Appropriations a

proposal that would allow the direct funding of these costs within each program account in fiscal year 2004.

Reprogramming guidelines.—The conference agreement provides reprogramming authority of \$1,000,000 or 10 percent, whichever is less, within the Departmental Administration account without prior submission of a reprogramming to be approved by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations. No individual program account may be increased or decreased by more than this amount during the fiscal year using this reprogramming authority. Congressional notification within 30 days of the use of this reprogramming authority is required. Transfers which would result in increases or decreases in excess of \$1,000,000 or 10 percent to an individual program account require prior notification and approval.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

The conference agreement provides \$37,671,000 for the Inspector General as proposed by the House and the Senate.

ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

The National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA), a semi-autonomous agency within the Department of Energy, manages the Nation's nuclear weapons, nuclear nonproliferation, and naval reactors activities.

Availability of funds.—The conference agreement makes funds appropriated to the NNSA available until expended as proposed by the Senate.

Other provisions.—The conference agreement includes language authorizing \$12,000,000 to be appropriated for Project 03-D-102, LANL administration building, at Los Alamos National Laboratory, and \$113,000,000 to be appropriated for Project 01-D-108, Microsystems and engineering science applications (MESA), at Sandia National Laboratories, in New Mexico.

The conference agreement does not include bill language proposed by the House limiting the obligation of funds until the Nuclear Weapons Council certifies the Selected Acquisition Reports, limiting the obligation of funds until the NNSA budgets by weapons system, or providing \$10,000,000 to upgrade financial systems to track costs by weapons system. Each of these issues is addressed further in the statement of the managers.

Future Years Nuclear Security Program.—The conferees agree with the House language pertaining to the inadequate multi-year programming and budgeting information and direct the NNSA to contract for an independent assessment of the NNSA's planning, programming, and budgeting system, including its comparability to that of the Department of Defense.

Strategic weapons modernization.—The conferees direct the Secretary of Energy in conjunction with the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the Appropriations and Armed Services Committees of Congress providing a specific inventory-objective for each nuclear weapon systems by year and in total through 2012 an indication of the likely number of warheads that must be modernized and why; and an estimate of the cost

in then-year dollars to perform such modernization. This report is due to the Congressional defense committees not later than March 15, 2003.

Selected Acquisition Reports.—The conferees direct NNSA to submit Selected Acquisition Reports to Congress in fiscal year 2004 and subsequent fiscal years in an identical manner to those submitted by the Department of Defense. NNSA shall use the title "Selected Acquisition Report", use the Department of Defense standard format and classification methodology, and include identical types of information on program cost, schedule, and contractor performance.

Budget and accounting for nuclear weapons systems.—The conferees understand that the Department is implementing a pilot project to budget and account for costs by weapons system and expect to be kept informed by the Administrator of the NNSA and the Department's Chief Financial Officer on the status of this project.

Cerro Grande Fire Funds.—The conferees direct that the Secretary not defer, deobligate, withdraw to headquarters, reserve for contemplated future rescissions, reprogram or otherwise adversely affect the planned and continuing expenditure of funds previously made available for Cerro Grande fire activities unless a reprogramming is submitted in advance and approval received from the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

WEAPONS ACTIVITIES

The conference agreement provides \$5,954,204,000 for Weapons Activities instead of \$5,772,068,000 as proposed by the House and \$6,108,959,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Reprogramming.—The conference agreement provides limited reprogramming authority within the Weapons Activities account without submission of a reprogramming to be approved in advance by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations. The reprogramming thresholds will be as follow: directed stockpile work, science campaigns, engineering campaigns, inertial confinement fusion, advanced simulation and computing, pit manufacturing and certification, readiness campaigns, and operating expenses for readiness in technical base and facilities. This should provide the needed flexibility to manage these programs.

In addition, funding of not more than \$5,000,000 may be transferred between each of these categories and each construction project subject to the following limitations: only one transfer may be made to or from any program or project; the transfer must be necessary to address a risk to health, safety or the environment or to assure the most efficient use of weapons activities funds at a site; and funds may not be used for an item for which Congress has specifically denied funds or for a new program or project that has not been authorized by Congress.

Congressional notification within 15 days of the use of this reprogramming authority is required. Transfers during the fiscal year which would result in increases or decreases in excess of \$5,000,000 or which would be subject to the limitations outlined in the previous paragraph require prior notification and approval from the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations. Failure to notify the Committees within the 15-day period will result in denial of the reprogramming.

Directed stockpile work.—The conference agreement includes the budget request of \$1,234,467,000 for directed stockpile work as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Campaigns.—Funding for individual campaigns is shown on the accompanying table. The conferees agree with the House language requesting detailed project baseline data for each campaign showing the total, annual, and five-year costs, schedule, scope, and deliverables for individual project activities as part of the annual budget request.

From within funds provided for the various campaigns, \$2,175,000 is provided for the University Research Program in Robotics. An additional \$2,175,000 is provided for the robotics program in the environmental management program.

For science campaigns, the conference agreement provides \$255,468,000, an increase of \$20,000,000 over the budget request. The conference agreement provides \$47,159,000 for primary certification as proposed by the Administration. In the dynamic materials properties program, the conferees have provided \$5,000,000 for materials properties studies using the capabilities of the Nevada Test Site and the budget request of \$13,110,000 for university partnerships. In the advanced radiography program, funding of \$20,000,000 is provided to continue research, development and conceptual design activities for an advanced hydrodynamics test facility and an additional \$5,000,000 to fund other experiments that might be conducted in the Contained Firing Facility.

For engineering campaigns, the conference agreement provides \$233,697,000, a reduction of \$5,713,000 from the budget request. Enhanced surety is funded at \$32,000,000, as proposed by the Senate.

For inertial confinement fusion, the conference agreement provides \$504,293,000, an increase of \$52,500,000 over the budget request, and includes several program funding adjustments. The conference agreement includes \$10,000,000 for the Naval

Research Laboratory, the same as the budget request. Funding of \$22,000,000 has been provided to further development of high average power lasers.

The conference agreement includes \$36,400,000, the same as the budget request, for the on-going program at the Laboratory for Laser Energetics at the University of Rochester. An increase of \$13,000,000 over the budget request is provided for the University of Rochester for the Omega Extended Performance Facility to enhance the capabilities of the Omega facility in support of the nation's stockpile stewardship program by providing additional high-energy, high-intensity beams to be used with the existing Omega facility.

The conference agreement provides an additional \$8,000,000 for enhanced National Ignition Facility (NIF) diagnostics and/or cryogenic target activities, and \$214,045,000, the same as the budget request, for continued construction of the NIF.

For petawatt laser capabilities, funding of \$5,000,000 is provided to modify the beamlet laser at the Sandia National Laboratories and \$1,000,000 is provided for technical community activities in developing critical short-pulse, high power laser technology.

The conferees have provided an additional \$3,500,000 for university grants/other ICF support. This includes \$2,500,000 for installation, operation, and continued research and development on a petawatt laser at the University of Nevada-Reno, and \$1,000,000 for short pulse, high power laser development at the University of Texas.

For advanced simulation and computing, the conference agreement provides \$704,335,000, as proposed by the Senate. The NNSA is directed to commission two

independent studies to review as proposed by the Senate. These reports are due to the Committees on Appropriations by August 1, 2003.

For pit manufacturing and certification, the conference agreement provides \$222,000,000, an increase of \$27,516,000 over the budget request of \$194,484,000. The increase will ensure that the NNSA maintains its commitment to produce a certifiable W88 pit by 2003 and a certified W88 pit by 2007. The NNSA has refused to request funds consistent with its own project plan submitted in September 2001. As directed by the Senate explanatory statement, the NNSA is to provide a revised pit production and certification plan to the relevant Congressional committees by March 31, 2003, and annually thereafter. To ensure that all sites under study for the modern pit facility receive full and equal consideration, the conferees recognize that future land withdrawal action by Congress may be required to proceed with construction of the facility.

For readiness campaigns, the conference agreement provides \$213,752,000, a reduction of \$8,065,000 from the budget request. Funding for the tritium readiness campaign includes \$42,734,000 for operating expenses as proposed by the Senate and an additional \$5,335,000 to complete dismantlement of the Accelerator Production of Tritium program.

Readiness in technical base and facilities.—For readiness in technical base and 1, 832, 222,000 facilities, the conference agreement provides \$1,833,222,000, an increase of 143,993,000 over the budget request, and includes several funding adjustments.

Within funds provided for operations of facilities, the conferees direct that, at a minimum, an additional \$25,000,000 be provided for the Pantex Plant in Texas and an additional \$20,000,000 be provided for the Y-12 Plant in Oak Ridge, Tennessee as

proposed by the House. The conference agreement includes an additional \$6,000,000 for the Z machine operations at Sandia and \$3,000,000 for technology transfer activities as proposed by the Senate. The conferees encourage the Department to utilize the UNLV Research Foundation and other academic institutions to facilitate such technology transfer activities at the Nevada Test Site.

The conference agreement provides \$56,725,000 for activities at the Nevada Test Site and an additional \$23,500,000 for the National Center for Combating Terrorism for facility upgrades, refurbishments, equipment, and operation and maintenance.

Funding of \$638,000 has been transferred to the Office of Science safeguards and security program to support weapons-related activities at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

Within funds provided for program readiness, the conference agreement includes \$60,000,000 to maintain Nevada Test Site readiness and \$6,164,000 for activities related to the TA-18 relocation to Nevada. The conference agreement provides the budget request of \$15,000,000 for enhanced test readiness. The Department is directed to notify the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations before any of these funds are obligated in fiscal year 2003.

Within funds provided for special projects, the conference agreement includes \$600,000 to the Oral History of the Nevada Test Site; \$6,900,000 for the New Mexico Education Enrichment Foundation; \$2,500,000 for the National Museum of Nuclear Science and History relocation project; \$500,000 for the Atomic Testing History Institute; \$1,000,000 for the UNLV Research Foundation; \$3,000,000 to update aircraft navigational and other related avionics; and the budget request for the Los Alamos

tor

County Schools. Within available funds, the conferees urge NNSA to conduct a field installation of the truck stopping device developed by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory and to continue research on bridges and new techniques for scanning shipping containers.

The conference agreement includes \$103,816,000 for materials recycling, an increase of \$5,000,000 over the budget request for activities at the Y-12 Plant in Tennessee as proposed by the House.

The conference agreement includes the budget request of \$17,721,000 for containers, \$14,593,000 for storage, and \$91,000,000 for nuclear weapons incident response.

Construction projects.—For construction projects, the conference agreement includes adjustments proposed by the Department to the budget request for several projects to reflect the latest program planning assumptions. In addition, the conference agreement provides \$12,000,000 for Project 03-D-102, LANL administration building replacement project, and \$113,000,000 for Project 01-D-108, Microsystems and Engineering Sciences Applications Complex at Sandia, in New Mexico.

Facilities and infrastructure recapitalization.—The conference agreement includes the budget request of \$242,512,000 for the facilities and infrastructure (F&I) recapitalization program. The conferees agree with the House language to procure decontamination, decommissioning and demolition services through an open competitive process. At least \$50,000,000 is to be used to dispose of excess facilities.

Secure Transportation Asset.—The conference agreement provides the budget request of \$152,989,000 for secure transportation asset.

Safeguards and security.—The conference agreement includes \$526,254,000, an increase of \$16,300,000 over the budget request, for safeguards and security activities at laboratories and facilities managed by the National Nuclear Security Administration.

Funding adjustments.—The conference agreement includes an adjustment of \$28,985,000 for a security charge for reimbursable work, as proposed in the budget, and a general reduction of \$138,800,000.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION

The conference agreement provides \$1,113,630,000 for Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation instead of \$1,167,630,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,115,630,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Availability of funds.—The conference agreement makes the funds available for obligation until expended as proposed by the Senate.

Nonproliferation and verification research and development.—The conference agreement provides the budget request of \$283,407,000 for nonproliferation and verification research and development. This includes \$20,160,000, the same as the budget request, for ground-based systems for treaty monitoring.

From within available funds for research and development activities, \$10,000,000 is provided to support ongoing activities at the Remote Sensing Test and Evaluation

Center at the Nevada Test Site. The conferees encourage the Department to use a portion of the additional funds provided to the Remote Sensing Test and Evaluation Center to

support applied research activities related to national security at UNLV and other universities that are participating in cooperative ventures at the Nevada Test Site.

The conference agreement provides \$3,000,000 for the Incorporated Research Institutions for Seismology PASSCAL Instrument Center. The conferees expect the NNSA to conduct a site-wide survey of the Iowa Army Ammunition Plant in Middletown, Iowa, for radiological contamination as proposed by the Senate. Within available funds, the NNSA is directed to provide \$10,000,000 for the sustained development of advanced technologies needed to counter nuclear terrorism threats and focus on improving capability through research and development.

The conferees continue to support more opportunity for open competition in appropriate areas of the nonproliferation and verification research and development program. The conferees expect the Department to continue to implement recommendations provided by the external review group in support of open competition and direct the Department to continue a free and open competitive process for at least 25 percent of its research and development activities during fiscal year 2003 for ground-based systems treaty monitoring. The competitive process should be open to all Federal and non-Federal entities.

The conferees direct the Department to identify ways to increase competition to ensure that the best possible researchers in the private sector and academia are provided the opportunity to compete for nonproliferation research and development funds and to contribute to these critical national security programs. In this time of increased threats to the nation, the Department should make every effort to seek out new and innovative ideas and concepts beyond those developed by the Department's own contractors.

The conferees request an annual report on the nonproliferation and verification research and development program that includes each major research project with the total baseline cost, cost by year, scope, schedule, deliverables, entity performing the research, and proposed user. This report in an unclassified form with a classified appendix as necessary is due to the Committees on Appropriations on March 15, 2003, and annually thereafter. The Department should work with the Committee to ensure the appropriate level of detail.

Nonproliferation and international security.—The conference agreement provides the budget request of \$92,668,000 for nonproliferation and international security.

Nonproliferation programs with Russia.—The conferees continue to be concerned that too much of the money for Russian programs is being spent in the United States at the Department of Energy's own facilities rather than going to the facilities in Russia.

The Department is directed to submit a plan to the Committees on Appropriations that shows how the ratio of the funding within each program that is spent in Russia versus the funding that remains in the United States for the Department's contractors will be increased significantly in each subsequent fiscal year.

International materials protection, control and cooperation (MPC&A).—The conference agreement includes \$233,077,000, the same as the budget request, for the MPC&A program. From within available funds, the conference agreement provides at least \$15,000,000 for expanded activities within the Second Line of Defense program that is responsible for improving border and transportation security. The conferees support expanded program work in major transit/transportation hubs and ports in countries other than Russia and the Newly Independent States. The conference agreement also provides

\$5,000,000 for the radiological dispersion devices (RDD) program to provide, control and account for RDD materials in countries other than Russia and the Newly Independent States.

Accelerated Highly Enriched Uranium (HEU) Disposition.—The conference agreement provides \$14,000,000 to develop and implement efforts with the Russian Federation for blending or otherwise securing highly enriched uranium. These efforts may include the purchase of highly enriched uranium from the Russian Federation and transporting it to the United States. This program is in addition to the U.S./Russian HEU Agreement to blend down 500 metric tons of highly enriched uranium over twenty years.

Russian Transition Initiatives.—The conference agreement provides \$39,334,000, the same as the budget request, for the Initiatives for Proliferation Prevention program and the Nuclear Cities Initiative.

HEU transparency implementation.—The conference agreement provides \$17,229,000, the same as the budget request.

International nuclear safety.—The conference agreement provides \$11,576,000, a reduction of \$3,000,000 from the budget request, for the international nuclear safety program, as proposed by the House.

Elimination of weapons-grade plutonium production.—The conference agreement includes the budget request of \$49,339,000 for the elimination of weapons-grade plutonium production program. The conferees strongly support the Department's efforts to provide an open procurement competition to find the best-qualified contractor to implement this program and have not included language to limit repayment of program expenditures.

Fissile materials disposition.—The conference agreement provides \$448,000,000 for fissile materials disposition, the same as the budget request. At the request of the Department, funding of \$2,000,000 was transferred from operating expenses to Project 99-D-141, Pit disassembly and conversion facility, and \$6,372,000 was transferred from Project 01-D-407, highly enriched uranium blend down, to operating expenses.

Program direction.—The conference agreement does not provide any program direction funds in this account as proposed by the Senate. Program direction funding for the Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation office will be identified separately within the Office of the Administrator account.

Funding adjustments.—The conference agreement includes funding adjustments of \$75,000,000. This includes the use of \$68,000,000 of prior year balances and the additional \$7,000,000 remaining from completion of Project 00-D-192, Nonproliferation and international security center.

NAVAL REACTORS

The conference agreement provides \$706,790,000 for Naval Reactors, the same as the budget request. These funds are to remain available until expended as proposed by the Senate.

OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR

The conference agreement provides \$330,929,000 for the Office of the Administrator instead of \$261,929,000 as proposed by the House and \$335,929,000 as proposed by the Senate. These funds are available for obligation through September 30, 2003, as proposed by the House. Statutory language providing \$12,000 for official reception and representation expenses has also been included.

The conferees urge the Administrator of NNSA to provide at least \$5,000,000 for the NNSA Office of Project Management and Engineering Support to continue its project oversight work and to provide training and mentoring programs to improve the skills of NNSA program and project managers.

Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation.—The conference agreement provides \$57,000,000 for the Federal employees in the Office of Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation. None of these funds may be taxed by the NNSA for any purpose without prior notification and approval by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

ENVIRONMENTAL AND OTHER DEFENSE RELATED ACTIVITIES

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT

The conference agreement provides \$5,470,180,000 for Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Management instead of \$4,543,661,000 as proposed by the House and \$5,370,532,000 as proposed by the Senate. Additional funding of \$1,138,314,000 is contained in the Defense Facilities Closure Projects account and \$158,399,000 in the

Defense Environmental Management Privatization account for a total of \$6,766,893,000 provided for all defense environmental management activities.

Accelerated cleanup funding.—The conference agreement has merged funds proposed for the Environmental Management Cleanup Reform account into the appropriate existing appropriation accounts rather than providing a lump sum in a separate appropriation account as proposed by the Administration.

Reprogramming authority.—The conferees support the need for flexibility to meet changing funding requirements and have provided internal reprogramming authority as proposed by the House.

Site/project completion.—Total funding of \$990,950,000 is provided for site/project completion. The conference agreement provides additional funding to accelerate cleanup at the following sites: \$40,000,000 for the Savannah River Site in South Carolina; \$5,000,000 for the Idaho site; \$141,000,000 for the Hanford site in Richland, Washington; \$8,000,000 for Sandia National Laboratories in New Mexico; \$5,000,000 for the Pantex plant in Texas; and \$4,000,000 to expedite the remediation and conveyance of up to 2,000 acres of land for the use of Pueblo of San Ildefonso and approximately 100 acres to the County of Los Alamos consistent with the direction of section 632 of Public Law 105-119.

Funding of \$7,000,000 for the Savannah River Ecology Laboratory is included in the Office of Science in fiscal year 2003.

Funding of \$8,800,000 is transferred from operating expenses at the Savannah River Site to a new construction project, Project 03-D-414, Preliminary Project

Engineering and Design, to initiate design activities for the salt waste processing facility and an additional glass waste storage building.

Post 2006 completion.—The conference agreement provides total funding of \$3,322,367,000 for post 2006 completion. The conference agreement provides additional funding to accelerate cleanup at the following sites: \$176,000,000 for the Savannah River Site in South Carolina; \$54,000,000 to accelerate remediation, waste management, and nuclear materials stewardship activities at Los Alamos National Laboratory; \$40,000,000 to accelerate cleanup at the Oak Ridge Reservation in Tennessee; \$33,000,000 to accelerate cleanup activities at the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory; and \$2,000,000 to accelerate cleanup activities at cleanup activities in Alaska.

Additional funding of \$105,000,000 is provided for the Idaho site. From within these funds, \$2,000,000 is for the national spent nuclear fuel program; \$4,000,000 is for the Subsurface Science Research Institute operated by the Inland Northwest Research Alliance and the INEEL; and the Department is directed to pay its Title V air permitting fees at the INEEL consistent with prior year levels.

The conferees are aware that the district court has ordered the parties to enter into mediation to resolve the Pit 9 issue at Idaho. The conferees commend that initiative and encourage the pursuit of action to avert continued costly and protracted litigation. The conferees expect the Department to participate directly in that mediation, not through the contractor. If mediation is not successful, the conferees expect the Department to initiate and participate in arbitration to resolve this dispute.

Additional funding of \$63,000,000 is provided for the Hanford site in Richland, Washington, to accelerate cleanup of the River Corridor and tank waste management activities. From within available funds, \$600,000 is provided for State of Oregon oversight activities, and funding at the fiscal year 2002 level is provided for the Hazardous Materials Management and Emergency Response (HAMMER) training and education center. The conferees understand that the HAMMER facility will seek another source of funding and be moved from the environmental management program after fiscal year 2003. The Department is expected to continue making PILT payments at last year's level to counties that have the Hanford reservation within their boundaries.

Additional funding of \$20,000,000 is provided to the Carlsbad field office. This includes \$14,000,000 to accelerate shipping and disposing of transuranic waste throughout the complex; \$3,500,000 to be made available to the Carlsbad community for educational support, infrastructure improvements, and related initiatives to address the impacts of accelerated operations; and \$2,500,000 to continue the U.S.-Mexico Border Health Commission/Materials Corridor Partnership Initiative.

From within available funds, \$36,732,000 has been transferred to the safeguards and security program to offset increased security costs in fiscal year 2003.

Office of River Protection.—The conference agreement provides \$1,126,988,000, an increase of \$229,000,000 over the budget request, for the Office of River Protection at the Hanford site in Washington. Funding of \$619,000,000 has been provided for Project 01-D-416, the Hanford Waste Treatment Plant, to vitrify the high-level waste in underground tanks.

Uranium enrichment decontamination and decommissioning (UED&D) fund.—
The conference agreement includes the budget request of \$442,000,000 for the Federal government's contribution to the UED&D fund.

Science and technology development.—The conference agreement provides \$118,175,000 for the science and technology development program. The conference agreement includes \$4,000,000 to continue the international agreement with AEA Technology; \$7,000,000 for the Department's current five-year cooperative agreement with the Florida International University's Hemispheric Center for Environmental Technology; \$750,000 for the Mid-Atlantic Recycling Center for End-of-Life Electronics project; \$5,000,000 for the Diagnostic Instrumentation and Analysis Laboratory; \$2,000,000 to continue micro-sensing technology development and prototype deployment of remote monitoring systems for the underground test area; \$2,175,000 for the university robotics research program; \$12,000,000 for research and development at Idaho on environmental technologies; and \$1,000,000 for basic science experiments at the Waste Isolation Pilot Project. The Department is directed to continue its ongoing cooperative agreements with the University of Nevada-Las Vegas and the University of Nevada-Reno on terms consistent with recent years. The conferees urge the Department to consider continued evaluation, development and demonstration of the Advanced Vitrification System.

The Office of Environmental Management is directed to report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations as soon as information is available on the projects and activities which are to be performed with funding provided in fiscal year 2003 to the Florida International University, AEA Technology, the Mid-Atlantic Recycling Center

Plant

for End-of-Life Electronics project, the Diagnostic Instrumentation and Analysis

Laboratory, the micro-sensing technology development and prototype deployment of
remote monitoring systems for the underground test area, the university robotics research
program, the Western Environmental Technology Office, and the National Energy
Technology Laboratory. The Department should work with the Committees on the detail
and format for this report.

Excess facilities.—The conference agreement includes \$5,000,000, an increase of \$3,700,000 over the budget request, for excess facilities. These funds are to be used to initiate decontamination and decommissioning of excess facilities owned by the environmental management program.

Multi-site activities.—The conference agreement includes \$64,352,000, a decrease of \$415,519,000 from the budget request, for multi-site activities. Funding of \$442,000,000 for the uranium enrichment decontamination and decommissioning fund is transferred to a separate program; \$1,000,000 is provided for packaging and certification activities; and \$8,481,000 is provided for the hazardous waste worker training program. The conferees expect the Department to continue its support for a variety of small programs identified in the Senate explanatory statement.

Funding of \$17,000,000 is provided for the National Energy Technology

Laboratory (NETL) in West Virginia, including \$5,000,000 for the Western

Environmental Technology Office. The Department is establishing an Office of Legacy

Management that will gain responsibility for the long-term stewardship program now

managed by the Office of Environmental Management. The conferees expect the

Department to use the NETL to begin preparatory actions to ensure a smooth and

Department of Homeland Security:

Office of Security

The conference agreement provides \$185,515,000, the same as the budget request, for the office of security.

The conference agreement provides total safeguards and security funding of \$1,267,791,000 for safeguards and security activities at the Department of Energy. In addition to the funding provided for the office of security, the conference agreement provides \$30,000,000 for security and safeguards activities performed by the Department's chief information officer and \$1,052,276,000 for safeguards and security activities at the Department's field offices and facilities.

Intelligence

The conference agreement includes \$41,246,000, the same as the budget request, for the Department's intelligence program.

Counterintelligence

The conference agreement includes \$45,955,000, the same as the budget request, for the Department's counterintelligence program.

Independent Oversight and Performance Assurance

The conference agreement provides \$22,430,000, the same as the budget request, for the independent oversight and performance assurance program.

Environment, Safety and Health (Defense)

The conference agreement provides \$103,850,000 for defense-related environment, safety and health activities including \$48,160,000 for health effects studies and \$13,500,000 for the Radiation Effects Research Foundation, the same as the budget request. The conferees have provided \$3,550,000 for medical monitoring at the gaseous diffusion plants and \$5,000,000 to continue a program at the University of Nevada-Las Vegas for Department-wide management of electronic records. From within available funds, the Department is directed to complete the health studies at the Iowa Army Ammunition Plant and initiate a beryllium screening and outreach program for workers employed at vendors in the Worchester, Massachusetts, area who supplied beryllium to the Atomic Energy Commission.

The General Accounting Office (GAO) is directed to conduct a study on the effectiveness of the benefit program under Subtitle D of the Energy Employees

Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 in assisting the Department of Energy contractor employees in obtaining compensation for occupational illness. Not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the GAO shall submit a report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee, and the House Energy and Commerce Committee on the results of

this study. The conferees direct the GAO not to displace any other requests by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

The conference agreement includes \$17,149,000 for program direction, the same as the budget request.

Worker and Community Transition

The conference agreement provides \$21,183,000 for the worker and community transition program instead of \$19,683,000 as proposed by the House and \$25,683,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement includes a total of \$3,500,000, an increase of \$1,500,000 over the budget request, for workforce restructuring at the Paducah, Kentucky, gaseous diffusion plant. Funding of \$2,000,000 has been provided for infrastructure improvements at the former Pinellas weapons plant as proposed by the House.

The conference agreement does not provide any guidance on the proposed final rule implementing Part D of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program.

No funds may be used to augment the \$21,183,000 made available for obligation for severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants unless the Department of Energy submits a reprogramming request subject to approval by the appropriate Congressional committees.

National Security Programs Administrative Support

The conference agreement provides \$87,468,000 for national security programs administrative support instead of \$30,587,000 as proposed by the House and \$50,587,000 as proposed by the Senate. Included within this amount is \$21,800,000 for the national security portion of the cybersecurity and communications initiative and the corporate management improvement program that are fully funded in the Departmental Administration account.

Office of Hearings and Appeals

The conference agreement provides \$2,933,000 for the Office of Hearings and Appeals, the same as the budget request.

Funding Adjustments

Funding adjustments include a security charge for reimbursable work of \$712,000 and a reduction of \$20,000,000 to be applied to those programs that have unobligated or unconted balances carried over from prior fiscal years and lower priority program activities.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

The conference agreement provides \$315,000,000 for the defense contribution to the nuclear waste repository program as proposed by the House instead of \$280,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS

BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement provides \$700,000,000 of new borrowing authority to the Bonneville Power Administration as proposed by the Senate. The bill language is included in Division N of the conference report. The conferees direct the Bonneville Power Administration to submit a detailed budget justification, by project, for its total capital improvement program to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations not later than March 30, 2003, and to be submitted thereafter as part of the annual budget request, for approval by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

No new direct loan obligations may be made during fiscal year 2003.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement includes \$4,534,000, the same as the budget request, for the Southeastern Power Administration. The conference agreement provides for the same level of purchase power and wheeling as in fiscal year 2002.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement includes \$27,378,000, the same as the budget request, for the Southwestern Power Administration. The conference agreement provides for the same level of purchase power and wheeling as in fiscal year 2002. The conference agreement also provides Southwestern with the authority to accept an additional \$8,043,000 of non-Federal reimbursable funding to fulfill its obligations under the Southwest Power Pool Open Access Transmission Tariff.

CONSTRUCTION, REHABILITATION, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement provides \$168,858,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$162,758,000 as proposed by the House. The conference agreement includes \$6,100,000 for the Utah Mitigation and Conservation Account. The conference agreement also includes language as proposed by the Senate regarding the Belfield-Hettinger transmission line in North Dakota and includes \$4,000,000 to fund high priority portions of the South of Phoenix portion of the Parker-Davis Project transmission system as proposed by the House.

FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND

The conference agreement includes \$2,734,000, the same as the budget request, for the Falcon and Amistad Operating and Maintenance Fund.

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Salaries and Expenses

The conference agreement includes \$192,000,000 for the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). Revenues for FERC are set at an amount equal to the budget authority, resulting in a net appropriation of \$0.

The conferees are aware that the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) has requested several FERC actions in regard to investigating electricity market manipulations. The conferees expect FERC to diligently act upon the CPUC's requests.

The Gommittee is very concerned about the possible impact on regional electricity prices of FERC's proposed rule for Standard Market Design (SMD). The Secretary of Energy is directed to submit to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, the House Energy and Commerce Committee, and the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee an independent analysis of the impact of the SMD rule that FERC proposes to finalize. This independent analysis must compare wholesale and retail electricity prices and the impact on the safety and reliability of generation and transmission facilities in the major regions of the country both under existing conditions and under the proposed SMD rule. This analysis must also address the proposed SMD rule's:

- (a) costs and benefits, including its impacts on energy infrastructure development and investor confidence;
- (b) impacts on state utility regulation;
- (c) financial impact on retail customers;
- (d) impact on the reasonableness of electricity prices; and

(e) impact on the safe, reliable, and secure operation of the Nation's generation and transmission facilities.

The Secretary shall work in consultation with the FERC so that the Secretary's analysis will most accurately address the contents and conclusions of the most current version of the proposed rule. The Secretary shall submit the independent analysis no later than April 30, 2003.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Sec. 301. The conference agreement modifies a provision proposed by the House that none of the funds may be used to award a management and operating contract, or a contract for environmental remediation or waste management in excess of \$100,000,000 in annual funding, or award a significant extension or expansion to an existing management and operating contract, unless such contract is awarded using competitive procedures, or the Secretary of Energy grants a waiver to allow for such a deviation.

Within 30 days of formally notifying the incumbent contractor that the Secretary intends to grant such a waiver, the Secretary must submit a report setting forth, in specificity, the substantive reasons why the requirement for competition should be waived.

This language modifies a provision carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 302. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that none of the funds may be used to prepare or implement workforce restructuring plans or provide enhanced severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants for Federal employees of the Department of Energy under section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 1993, Public Law

102-484. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 303. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that none of the funds may be used to augment the \$21,183,000 made available for obligation for severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants unless the Department of Energy submits a reprogramming request subject to approval by the appropriate Congressional committees. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 304. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that none of the funds may be used to prepare or initiate Requests for Proposals for a program if that program has not been funded by Congress in the current fiscal year. This provision also precludes the Department from initiating activities for new programs which have been proposed in the budget request, but which have not yet been funded by Congress. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

(Transfers of Unexpended Balances)

Sec. 305. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that permits the transfer and merger of unexpended balances of prior

appropriations with appropriation accounts established in this bill. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 306. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House prohibiting the Bonneville Power Administration from performing energy efficiency services outside the legally defined Bonneville service territory unless the Administrator certifies in advance that such services are not available from private sector businesses. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 307. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House establishing certain notice and competition requirements for Department of Energy user facilities. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 308. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate allowing the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration to authorize certain nuclear weapons production plants to use not more than 2 percent of available funds for research, development and demonstration activities. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 309. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate allowing the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration

to authorize the manager of the Nevada Operations Office to use not more than 2 percent of available funds for research, development and demonstration activities necessary for operations and readiness of the Nevada Test Site.

Sec. 310. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House that would repeal section 310 of Public Law 106-60, the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2000, which required submission of funding plans from Department of Energy laboratories.

Sec. 311. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House which would authorize intelligence activities of the Department of Energy for purposes of section 504 of the National Security Act of 1947 until enactment of the Intelligence Authorization Act for fiscal year 2003.

Sec. 312. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the Senate limiting the types of waste that can be disposed of in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant in New Mexico. None of the funds may be used to dispose of transuranic waste in excess of 20 percent plutonium by weight for the aggregate of any material category. At the Rocky Flats site, this provision includes ash residues; salt residues; wet residues; direct repackage residues; and scrub alloy as referenced in the "Final Environmental Impact Statement on Management of Certain Plutonium Residues and Scrub Alloy Stored at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site". This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 313. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the Senate providing that funds appropriated in Public Law 107-66 for the Kachemak Bay submarine cable project may be available to reimburse the local sponsor for the Federal share of the project costs assumed by the local sponsor prior to final passage of that Act.

Sec. 314. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the Senate requiring that upon the request of the licensee for FERC License No. 11393, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission shall issue an order staying the license.

Sec. 315. The conference agreement modifies a provision proposed by the Senate providing that none of the funds for Department of Energy environmental management activities may be obligated at a Department of Energy site or laboratory in excess of the current-year level of funding or the fiscal year 2003 budget request, whichever is greater, unless the site or laboratory has entered into a site performance management plan consistent with the intent of the Department's environmental management acceleration and reform initiative.

Sec. 316. The conference agreement modifies a provision proposed by the Senate prohibiting the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) from taking any actions adversely affecting employment at its Nevada Operations Office for a period of not less than 365 days. The conference agreement includes a provision allowing the Administrator of the NNSA to seek a waiver from this requirement. Similar to a

reprogramming action, written approval must be received from the Chairmen and Ranking Members of the House and Senate Energy and Water Development Appropriations Subcommittees.

Sec. 317. The conference agreement includes a provision providing that notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of Energy shall proceed with planning and analyses for external regulation of the Department's laboratories under the Office of Science.

Provisions not adopted by the conference.—The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate that: requires the General Accounting Office to conduct a study of the effectiveness of the benefit program under subtitle D of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000; requires the General Accounting Office to conduct a study of the cleanup progress at the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant in Paducah, Kentucky; and prohibits the use of funds in this or any other Act to withdraw from availability or otherwise adversely affect the planned expenditure of funds previously made available for Cerro Grande Fire activities. These requirements are addressed in the statement of the managers.

Language proposed by the Senate allowing the Secretary of Interior to participate in the CAL FED Bay-Delta Authority has been modified and moved to Title II.

CONFERENCE RECOMMENDATIONS

The conference agreement's detailed funding recommendations for programs in

title III are contained in the following table.

	Budget Request	Conference
ENERGY SUPPLY		
RENEWABLE ENERGY RESOURCES		
Renewable energy technologies	0.5	
Biomass/biofuels energy systemsGeothermal technology development		90,000
Hydrogen research	26,500	30,000
Hydropower	39,881	40,000
Solar energy.	7,489	5,300
Wind energy systems	87,625 44 000	95,000 44,000
Total, Renewable energy technologies	291,500	304,300
Electric energy systems and storage	70,447	85,000
Renewable support and implementation		
Departmental energy management	3,000	1,500
International renewable energy program	6,500	4,000
Renewable energy production incentive program	4,000	5,000
Renewable Indian energy resources	8,307	6,000
Renewable program support	2,059	5,000
Total, Renewable support and implementation	23,866	21,500
National renewable energy laboratory Construction	4,200	4,700
02-E-001 Project engineering and design, NREL		
Golden, CO	800	800
Total, National renewable energy laboratory	5,000	5,500
Program direction	16,187	
Subtotal, Renewable Energy Resources		
	=======================================	
Use of prior year balances		-10,000
TOTAL, RENEWABLE ENERGY RESOURCES	407,000	422,300
NUCLEAR ENERGY	**********	
Radiological facilities management		
Space and defense infrastructure		28,950
Medical isotopos infrastru-		
Medical isotopes infrastructure Isotope support and production		25,497
Construction 99-E-201 Isotope production facility (LANL)		1,721
Subtotal, Isotope support and production		27,218
Offsetting collections		
		-6,400
Subtotal, Medical isotopes infrastructure		20,818
Total, Radiological facilities management		49,768
University reactor fuel assistance and support	17,500	18,500

	Budget Request	Conference
Research and development		
Nuclear energy plant optimization		
Nuclear energy research initiative	25,000	25,000
Nuclear energy technologies	25,000 46,500	45,000
Total, Research and development		75,000
Fast flux test facility (FFTF)	36,100	
Idaho facilities management		
Radiological facilities	78,977	
ANL-West operations Test reactor area landlord		31,615 8,815
Subtotal	78,977	40,430
Construction 99-E-2-1 Isotope production facility (LANL)	1,721	
99-E-200 Test reactor area electrical utility		
upgrade, Idaho National Engineering Lab, ID	1,840	1,840
95-E-201 Test reactor area fire and life safety		
improvements Idaho National Engineering Lab, ID	500	
Subtotal, Construction		
	83 038	
Total, Idaho facilities management		
Advanced fuel cycle initiative Program direction	18,221 23,439	58,211 23,439
Subtotal Nuclear Energy	249,798	267,688
<u> </u>	========	
Use of prior year balances		
TOTAL, NUCLEAR ENERGY	249,798	261,688
ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH		
acci c m i company accine and the last from defensely	10 340	6 840
Office of Environment, Safety and Health (non-defense) Program direction	18,871	6,840 15,860
TOTAL, ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH		22,700
ENERGY SUPPORT ACTIVITIES		
Technical information management program	6,525	
-		
TOTAL, ENERGY SUPPORT ACTIVITIES		=======================================
Subtotal, Energy supply	693,934	706,688
General reduction		-5,211 ========
TOTAL, ENERGY SUPPLY	693,934	701,477

	Budget Request	Conference
NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT		
Site closure Site/project completion Post 2006 completion Fast flux test facility (FFTF) Long-term stewardship	51,272 112,887 1,841	22,688 36,100 14,180
Excess facilities Subtotal, Non-Defense Environmental Management		227,234
Use of prior year balances		-12,134
TOTAL, NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT	166,000	215,100
URANIUM FACILITIES MAINTENANCE AND REMEDIATION		
Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund Decontamination and decommissioning Uranium/thorium reimbursement		324,329 16,000
Total, Uranium enrichment D&D fund		
Other Uranium Activities Maintenance and pre-existing liabilities	146,631	141,210
Use of prior year balances		-25,000
TOTAL, URANIUM FACILITIES MAINTENANCE AND REMEDIATION	382,154	456,539
SCIENCE		
High energy physics Construction 98-G-304 Neutrinos at the main injector,	704,897	706,897
Fermilab	20,093	20,093
Total, High energy physics	724,990	726,990
Nuclear physics	382,370	384,370
Biological and environmental research	504,215	530,000
Basic energy sciences		
Research Materials sciences and engineering research Chemical sciences, geosciences and energy	547,883	551,378
biosciences	220,146	221,551
Subtotal, Research	768,029	772,929
Construction 03-SC-002 Project engineering & design (PED) SLAC.	6,000	6,000
03-R-312 Center for nanophase materials sciences, ORNL	24,000	24,000
03-R-313 Center for Integrated Nenotechnology		4,500
02-SC-002 Project engineering and design (VL)	11,000	12,000

	Budget Request	Conference
99-E-334 Spallation neutron source (ORNL)	210,571	210,571
Subtotal, Construction		257,071
Total, Basic energy sciences	1,019,600	
Advanced scientific computing research	169,625 1,020	172,625
Science laboratories infrastructure Infrastructure support	1,020 5,079 5,055	1,020 5,079 8,000
MEL-001 Multiprogram energy laboratory infrastructure projects, various locations	28,226	28,226
Subtotal, Construction		
Total, Science laboratories infrastructure		45,680
Fusion energy sciences programSafeguards and securityScience workforce development		•
Science program direction Field offices Headquarters Science education Technical information management program Energy research analyses	58,224 5,460 	7,000 1,000
Total, Science program direction	133,847	
Subtotal, Science	3,283,839	3,330,277
General reduction/use of prior year balances Less security charge for reimbursable work	-4,383 =========	-20,000 -4,383
TOTAL, SCIENCE	3,279,456	3,305,894
NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL		
Repository program	212,813 62,989	60,000
TOTAL, NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL	275,802	145,000
DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION		
Administrative operations Salaries and expenses Office of the Secretary	743 30,862 4.953	4.500

	Budget Request	
	22,813	21,813
General counsel	106 536	103,000
Office of Management, Budget and Evaluation	16,840	14,000
Policy and international affairs	4,531	3,900
Subtotal, Salaries and expenses	197,044	186,256
Program support		
Minority economic impact	1,400	1,200
policy analysis and system studies	800	400
Energy security and assurance	2,000	
Environmental policy studies	1,200	
Engineering and construction management reviews		5,000
Cubersecurity and secure communications	32,027	30,000
Corporate management information program	20,420	15,000
Subtotal, Program support		
Total, Administrative operations	254,891	239,956
Cost of work for others		
COSE OF WORK FOR OTHERS.		200 972
Subtotal, Departmental Administration	324,807	309,672
2		-15,000
Use of prior year balances and other adjustments	25 587	-87,468
Funding from other defense activities		
Total, Departmental administration (gross)	299,220	207,404
Miscellaneous revenues	-137.524	-120,000
Miscellaneous revenues	==========	
TOTAL, DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION (net)	161,696	87,404
TOTAL, DEFACTMENTED IDITAL	=======================================	
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL		
	37.671	37,671
Office of Inspector General		=======================================
TOTAL, OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL	37,671	37,671
	==========	=========
ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES		
NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION		
WEAPONS ACTIVITIES		
Directed stockpile work	468 140	467 140
Stockpile research and development	467,149	467,149
Stocknile maintenance	401,157	401,157
Chacknile evaluation	197,184	197,184
Dismantlement/disposal	24,378	24,378
Production support	137,700	137,706
Field engineering, training and manuals	6,893	6,893
Total, Directed stockpile work	1,234,467	1,234,467

D) Manaco maccorract proposition	
Science campaigns 47,159 47 Primary certification 87,594 87 Dynamic materials properties 87,594 87 Advanced radiography 52,925 72 Secondary certification and nuclear systems 47,790 47 margins 47,790 47 Subtotal, Science campaigns 235,468 255	,594
Science campaigns 47,159 47 Primary certification 87,594 87 Dynamic materials properties 87,594 87 Advanced radiography 52,925 72 Secondary certification and nuclear systems 47,790 47 margins 47,790 47 Subtotal, Science campaigns 235,468 255	,594
Primary certification 47,159 47 Dynamic materials properties 87,594 87 Advanced radiography 52,925 72 Secondary certification and nuclear systems margins 47,790 47 Subtotal, Science campaigns 235,468 255	,594
Dynamic materials properties	,594
Secondary certification and nuclear systems margins	, 925
Secondary certification and nuclear systems margins	
Subtotal, Science campaigns	790
Engineering campaigns	,468
pudincering cambarans	
	2,000
Weapons system engineering certification 27,007 27	7,007
	3,394
Enhanced surveillance	7,155
Advanced design and production technologies 74,141 74	1,141
	3,697
Inertial confinement fusion ignition and high yield. 237,748 290 Construction),248
	1,045
Subtotal, Inertial confinement fusion 451,793 504	1,293
Advanced simulation and computing	9,000
Construction	•
01-D-101 Distributed information systems laboratory, SNL, Livermore, CA	3,305
00-D-103, Terascale simulation facility,	
LLNL, Livermore, CA	5,030
00-D-107 Joint computational engineering	
laboratory, SNL, Albuquerque, NM	7,000
Subtotal, Construction	5,335
	4,335
Pit manufacturing and certification 194,484 22:	2,000
Readiness campaigns Stockpile readiness	1,027
High explosives manufacturing and weapons	
	2,093
Non-nuclear readiness	2,398
111C1um 1Cucations.	8,069
Construction 98-D-125 Tritium extraction facility, SR 70,165 70	0,165
	 8,234
Subtotal, Illitum readiness	
	 3,752
Total, Campaigns	
Readiness in technical base and facilities	
Operations of facilities 949,920 1,02	
Program readiness 208,089 21	9,963
Special projects	9,500

		Conference
Material recycle and recovery	98.816	103,816
Containers	17,721	17,721
Storage	14,593	14,593
Nuclear weapons incident response	91,000	91,000
Subtotal, Readiness in technical base and fac		
Subtotal, Reduliess in technicous sub-		
Construction		
03-D-101 Sandia underground reactor facility		
SURF, SNL, Albuquerque, NM	2,000	
03-D-102 LANL Administration Building (LANL)		12,000
03-D-103 Project engineering and design		
various locations	15,539	11,139
03-D-121 Gas transfer capacity expansion, Kansas City Plant, Kansas City, MO	4,000	4,000
03-D-122 Purification facility, Y-12 plant, Oak Ridge, TN	20,800	28,184
03-D-123 Special nuclear materials requalification, Pantex plant, Amarillo, TX	3,000	6,620
02-D-103 Project engineering and design, various	27,245	17,306
02-D-105 Engineering technology complex upgrade,	10,000	10,000
02-D-107 Electrical power systems safety communications and bus upgrades, NV	7,500	7,500
(DECD)		•
01-D-103 Project engineering and design (PE&D), various locations	6,164	
01-D-107 Atlas relocation, Nevada test site	4,123	4,123
and and analysis of angels		
01-D-108 Microsystems and engineering sciences applications complex (MESA), SNL	75,000	113,000
01-D-124 HEU materials facility, Y-12 plant, Oak		
Ridge, TN	25,000	25,000
01-D-126 Weapons Evaluation Test Laboratory		
Pantex Plant, Amarillo, TX	8,650	8,650
and a distance of information		
01-D-800 Sensitive compartmented information facility, LLNL	9,611	9,611
TIME		
99-D-103 Isotope sciences facilities, LLNL, Livermore, CA	4,011	4,011
and the second s		
99-D-104 Protection of real property (roof reconstruction-Phase II), LLNL, Livermore, CA	5,915	5,915
as a see dealers assessment restructuring		
99-D-127 Stockpile management restructuring initiative, Kansas City plant, Kansas City, MO	29,900	29,900
on many the leading and the material and		
99-D-128 Stockpile management restructuring initiative, Pantex consolidation, Amarillo, TX	407	407
and the last and the same and t		
98-D-123 Stockpile management restructuring initiative, Tritium factory modernization and		40.401
consolidation, Savannah River, SC	10,481	10,481

		Conference
96-D-102 Stockpile stewardship facilities		
revitalization (Phase VI), various locations	1,000	1,000
Subtotal, Construction		
Total, Readiness in technical base and facilities.		1,832,222
Facilities and infrastructure recapitalization program	242,512	242,512
Secure transportation asset		
Operations and equipment	100 863	100 963
Program direction	52,126	100,863 52,126
rrogram direction	52,120	52,126
Total, Secure transportation asset		
Safeguards and security	501,054	517,354
99-D-132 SMRI nuclear material safeguards and		
security upgrade project (LANL), Los Alamos, NM	8,900	8,900
Total, Safeguards and security		
Subtotal, Weapons activities	5,895,985	
Use of prior year balances Less security charge for reimbursable work		-138,800
TOTAL, WEAPONS ACTIVITIES	5,867,000	
DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION		
Nonproliferation and verification, R&D	283 407	283,407
Nonproliferation and international security	92,668	
Nonproliferation programs with Russia		
International materials protection, control, and		
cooperationAccelerated highly enriched uranium (HEU)	233,077	•
disposition		
Russian transition initiative	39,334	
HEU transparency implementation	17,229	
International nuclear safety Elimination of weapons-grade plutonium production	14,576	11,576
program	49,339	49,339
Fissile materials disposition		
U.S. surplus materials disposition	194,000	198,372
Russian surplus materials disposition	98,000	98,000
Construction	,	- 5,000
01-D-407 Highly enriched uranium (HEU) blend		
down, Savannah River, SC	30,000	23,628
99-D-141 Pit disassembly and conversion facility		
Savannah River, SC	33,000	35,000

	Budget Request	Conference
2.63.4		
99-D-143 Mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility, Savannah River, SC	93,000	93,000
Subtotal, Construction	156,000	151,628
Subtotal, Fissile materials disposition	448,000	
Total, Nonproliferation programs with Russia		812,555
Subtotal, Defense nuclear nonproliferation		1,188,630
Use of prior year balances	-64,000	-75,000 ======
TOTAL, DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION	1,113,630	1,113,630
NAVAL REACTORS		
Naval reactors development	671,290	671,290
03-D-201 Cleanroom technology facility, Bettis atomic power lab, West Mifflin, PA	7,200	7,200
01-D-200 Major office replacement building, Schenectady, NY	2,100	2,100
90-N-102 Expended core facility dry cell project, Naval Reactors Facility, ID	2,000	2,000
Subtotal, Construction	11,300	11,300
Total, Naval reactors development	682,590	
Program direction	24,200	24,200
TOTAL, NAVAL REACTORS	706,790	706,790
OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR		
Office of the Administrator Defense nuclear nonproliferation	335,929	273,929 57,000
•		=======================================
TOTAL, OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR		330,929
TOTAL, NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION	8,023,349	8,105,553
DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MGMT.		
Site/project completion Operation and maintenance	779,706	973,906
03-D-414, Preliminary project engineering and design (PE&D), Aiken, SC		8,800
02-D-402 Intec cathodic protection system expansion project, INEEL, Idaho Falls, ID	1,119	1,119
02-D-420 Plutonium packaging and stabilization, Savannah River	2,000	2,000

	Budget Request	Conference
01-D-414 Preliminary project, engineering and design (PE&D), various locations	5,125	5,125
Subtotal, Construction		
Total, Site/project completion	787,950	
Post 2006 completion Operation and maintenance	1,702,241	2,180,509
Construction 93-D-187 High-level waste removal from filled waste tanks, Savannah River, SC	14,870	14,870
Office of River Protection		455 056
Operation and maintenance	226,256	455,256
03-D-403 Immobilized high-level waste interim storage facility, Richland, WA	6,363	6,363
01-D-416 Hanford waste treatment plant, Richland, WA	619,000	619,000
97-D-402 Tank farm restoration and safe operations, Richland, WA	25,424	25,424
94-D-407 Initial tank retrieval systems, Richland, WA		20,945
Subtotal, Construction	671,732	671,732
Subtotal, Office of River Protection		1,126,988
Total, Post 2006 completion		3,322,367
Uranium enrichment D&D fund contribution Science and technology Excess facilities	92,000 1,300 479,871 228,260 344,000	442,000 118,175 5,000 64,352 268,607 344,000
Subtotal, Defense environmental management		5,555,451
Use of prior year balances Less security charge for reimbursable work	-4,347	-80,924 -4,347
TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRON. RESTORATION AND WASTE MGMT	4,544,133	5,470,180
ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT CLEANUP REFORM		
Environmental management cleanup reform	1,100,000	·
DEFENSE FACILITIES CLOSURE PROJECTS		
Site closure Safeguards and security	1,054,153 37,161	1,082,653 55,661
TOTAL, DEFENSE FACILITIES CLOSURE PROJECTS		1,138,314

	Budget Request	Conference
DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PRIVATIZATION		
Privatization initiatives, various locations	158,399	158,399
TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MGMT. PRIVATIZATION		158,399
TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL PONT. INC.		
TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT	6,893,846	
		=======================================
OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES		
Other national security programs		
Energy security and assurance	23,411	52,411
Energy security		4,275
Program direction		
Subtotal, Energy security and assurance	27,686	56,686
Office of Security		
Nuclear safeguards and security	91,102	91,102
Security investigations	45,870	45,870
Program direction	48,543	48,543
Subtotal, Office of Security		185,515
	41,246	41,246
Intelligence	45,955	·
CounterintelligenceIndependent oversight and performance assurance	22,430	22,430
	01 002	86,701
Environment, safety and health (Defense)	81,892 17,149	
Program direction - EH		
Subtotal, Environment, safety & health (Defense)	99,041	103,850
Worker and community transition	22,965	19,183
Program direction - WT	2,718	2,000
Subtotal, Worker and community transition	25,683	·
		07 460
National Security programs administrative support	25,587	
Office of hearings and appeals	2,933	2,933
Subtotal, Other defense activities	476,076	567,266
Use of prior year balances	-6,700	-20,000
Less security charge for reimbursable work	-712	-712
less security charge for remourable water		
TOTAL, OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	468,664	546,554
The state of the s	*******	
DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL		
Defense nuclear waste disposal	315,000	
Detende nucrear waste arapposar	*========	==========
TOTAL, ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES		15,734,000
AVALUATION DATE TO THE TOTAL T	=========	

	Budget Request	Conference
POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS		
SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION		
Operation and maintenance		
Purchase power and wheeling	20,000 4,606	34,463
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance		
Offsetting collections	-20,000	-14,463 -20,000 -72
TOTAL, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION	4,534	4,534
SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION		
Operation and maintenance Operating expenses. Purchase power and wheeling. Program direction. Construction.	288 17 933	1,800
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance	28 066	29.578
Offsetting collections (P.L. 106-377)	 -288 -400	-1,512 -288 -400
TOTAL, SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION		27,378
WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION		
Operation and maintenance Construction and rehabilitation	17,784 37,796 30,000 108,378	37,796 186,124 108,378
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance	193,958	356,182
Offsetting collections	20 000	
TOTAL, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION	162,758	
FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND		
Operation and maintenance	2,734	2,734
TOTAL. POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS		203,504
	13/,404	
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION		
Federal energy regulatory commission FERC revenues	-192,000	192,000 -192,000
GRAND TOTAL, DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	20,894,976	20,886,589

TITLE IV

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION

The conference agreement includes \$71,290,000 for the Appalachian Regional Commission (ARC) as proposed by the House instead of \$74,400,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees support the Appalachian-Turkish Trade Project to promote trade and investment opportunities. From within available funds, \$5,000,000 has been provided for a child development research center at the University of Alabama and \$8,000,000 for the newly authorized telecommunications program within the ARC. The conferees are aware of the Intermodal Industrial Park project in Wellsville, Ohio, and urge the ARC to help the Columbiana County Port Authority complete the project.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

The conference agreement includes \$19,000,000 for the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board as proposed by the House and Senate.

DELTA REGIONAL AUTHORITY

The conference agreement includes \$8,000,000 for the Delta Regional Authority instead of \$15,000,000 as proposed by the Senate and no funding as proposed by the House. The conferees expect the Authority to submit to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations quarterly financial reports providing detailed accounting data on the expenditure of funds during fiscal year 2003 and thereafter. The conferees also expect to receive from the Authority a detailed budget justification if the transfer in Table 2003. The authority failed to comply with this requirement in Table 2003.

DENALI COMMISSION

The conference agreement includes \$48,000,000 for the Denali Commission instead of \$50,000,000 as proposed by the Senate and no funding as proposed by the House. The conferees expect the Denali Commission to submit to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations quarterly financial reports providing detailed accounting data on the expenditure of funds during fiscal year 2003 and thereafter. The conferees also expect to receive from the Commission a detailed budget justification if funds are

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Salaries and Expenses

The conference agreement includes \$578,184,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate, to be offset by revenues of \$520,087,000, for a net appropriation of \$58,097,000. This reflects the statutory language adopted by the conference in fiscal year 2001 to reduce the fee recovery requirement to 94 percent in fiscal year 2003. The conference amount provides the same total amount as the budget request, including the \$29,300,000 requested for security expenses, but applies the fee recovery requirement to this increment of funding.

Office of Inspector General

The conference agreement includes \$6,800,000 as proposed by the House and Senate, to be offset by revenues of \$6,392,000, for a net appropriation of \$408,000. This reflects the statutory language adopted by the conference in fiscal year 2001 to reduce the fee recovery requirement to 94 percent in fiscal year 2003.

NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

] SALARIES AND EXPENSES [



The conference agreement provides \$3,200,000, the same as the budget request.

TITLE V

GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sec. 501. The conference agreement includes language directing that none of the funds in this Act or any prior appropriations Act may be used in any way, directly or indirectly, to influence congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in section 1913 of title 18, United States Code. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 502. The conference agreement includes language regarding the purchase of American-made equipment and products, and prohibiting contracts with persons falsely labeling products as made in America. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 503. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House providing that none of the funds made available in this Act may be transferred to any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States Government, except pursuant to transfer made by, or transfer authority provided in, this Act or any other appropriation Act.

Sec. 504. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate that extends the existing authority for the Denali Commission until 2008.

Sec. 505. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate that amends section 503 of the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2002, by extending the prohibition of oil and gas drilling in the Great Lakes until 2005.

Sec. 506. The conference agreement includes a provision clarifying that the Department of Energy's discretionary indemnification authority will protect communities, lenders, and subsequent owners of former Department of Energy property to the same extent that communities impacted by base closures are protected.

Sec. 507. The conference agreement includes a provision directing the Director of the Office of Management and Budget to transmit to Congress by April 1, 2003, a cross-cut budget displaying, by fiscal year, all CALFED Bay-Delta Program related expenditures by the Federal government for fiscal years 1996 through 2004.

Provisions not adopted.— The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House prohibiting obligation of funds by the Federal Energy Regulatory

Commission to grant any public utility the authority to use market-based rates until the

Commission has issued a final order in all market-based rate cases that have been pending before the Commission for more than 18 months.

CONFERENCE TOTAL--WITH COMPARISONS

The total new budget (obligational) authority for the fiscal year 2003 recommended by the Committee of Conference, with comparisons to the fiscal year 2002 amount, the 2003 budget estimates, and the House and Senate bills for 2003 follow:

[in thousands of dollars]

New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2002\$	25,795,359
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2003	26,163,457
House bill, fiscal year 2003	26,541,000
Senate bill, fiscal year 2003	26,649,991
Conference agreement, fiscal year 2003	26,678,000
Conference agreement compared with:	
New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2002	+882,641
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year	
2003	+514,543
House bill, fiscal year 2003	+137,000
Senate bill, fiscal year 2003	+28,009